

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Phone Call with Lydia Barnstable (LB)	2017.12.12						
AECOM Lydia Barnstable (LB) Stakeholders Phillip O'Reilly (POR)	2017.12.12	Phone Call	LB (AECOM) confirming dates for Statutory Consultation. Advised that separate meeting could be arranged to discuss the impact of the scheme on Four Winds.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly, Four Winds, Catherine de Barnes Lane, Solihull. B92 0DJ	2017.12.12						
Philip O'Reilly > 12 December 2017 at 15:36 To: lydia.barnstable@aecom.com Hi Lydia, Good to talk to you earlier. If you can let me know the dates and times of the next meetings that would be appreciated. Kind regards, Philip	2017.12.12	Email	Email to LB (HE) confirming call with Lydia Barnstable (LB) (AECOM). Requested dates for meeting.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
				2017.12.12	Email	Circulation of the timetable to Mr O'Reilly for the upcoming consultation events for the Statutory Consultation between 9 Jan 2018- 31 Jan 2018. Highways England (HE) offered to arrange a specific appointment with members of the Project Team at one of the consultation events, if requested by Mr O'Reilly.	Incorrect entry on HE record. Refer to notes opposite for entries on 12th December 2017 - Phone call with LB (AECOM), not an email as stated.
				2017.12.12	Email	Mr O'Reilly requested clarification that all of the Project Team will be at both St. Peters Church Hall and Fentham Hall events, as those would be the most likely dates that he would be able to attend.	Incorrect entry on HE record. Refer to notes opposite for entries on 12th December 2017 and 5th January 2018 - Phone calls with LB (AECOM), not an email as stated.
M42 Junction 6 Statutory Consultation - starts 9 January 2018	2018.01.04						
M42J6 M42J6@aecom.com> 4 January 2018 at 16:54 Good Afternoon, M42 JUNCTION 6 IMPROVEMENT SCHEME: PUBLIC CONSULTATION Highways England is proposing to improve M42 Junction 6. This Scheme is a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) under the Planning Act (PA) 2008. As part of the pre-application process we will be holding the formal public consultation about the Scheme from the 9th January 2018 through to 19th February 2018. I am writing to you, as someone who has previously provided comments on the proposed M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme, in order to give you the opportunity to get involved and attend the planned events, to view and comment on the proposed scheme. Please find attached a consultation leaflet identifying the dates, times and location of the planned consultation events and method for contacting Highways England. The Highways England Website will also host an electronic version of the exhibition material and questionnaire during the consultation period. Highways England Website: www.highways.gov.uk/m42-j6 Email: at M42J6@highwaysengland.co.uk Post: M42 Junction 6 Improvement, Highways England, The Cube, 199 Wharfside Street, Birmingham B1 1RN Yours faithfully, Jonathan Pizzey Senior Project Manager - M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme	2018.01.04	Email	Email from JP (HE) advising of formal public consultation dates and locations.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Phone Call with Lydia Barnstable (LB)	2018.01.05						
AECOM Lydia Barnstable (LB) Stakeholders Phillip O'Reilly (POR)	2018.01.05	Phone Call	LB (HE) confirming compensation expert from HE would be attending both of the consultation events POR was available to attend - St Peter's Church Hall on 11th January 2018 and Fentham Hall on 19th January 2018. Advised that separate meeting could be arranged to discuss the impact of the scheme on Four Winds.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
				2018.01.05	Email	Confirmation that HE land and compensation staff will be available at the two events that Mr O'Reilly queried in his email (12.12.17) and follow up email (04.01.18), in addition to the WGAA in Bickenhill on 27.01.18. HE offered Mr O'Reilly a separate meeting at his convenience to discuss the scheme and potential impacts on Four Winds.	Incorrect entry on HE record. Refer to notes opposite for entry on 5th January 2018 - Phone call from LB (AECOM), not an email as stated. Offer for separate meeting came from AECOM, not HE as stated. I have no record of email's referred to - dated 12th December 2017 and 4th January 2018. My records confirm phone calls with LB (AECOM) on 12th December 2017 and 5th January 2018.
COMMENCEMENT OF CONSULTATION PERIOD				2018.01.09			
Statutory Consultation	2018.01.09	-	-	2018.01.09	-	Commencement of statutory consultation and the publication of a Scheme layout plan (ref HE551485-ACM-HGN-M42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-CH-002). A copy of this plan can be found in Appendix B.	
MEETING with Jonathan Pizzey (HE)				2018.01.19			
<p><u>Highways England</u> Jonathan Pizzey (JP) <u>Stakeholders</u> Phillip O'Reilly (POR)</p> <p>JP (HE) confirmed that: - SMBC had no objection to proposed WGAA relocation site near Woodhouse Farm. - WGAA had informed HE that they had been in contact with POR and no objection was raised to the proposed location. JP (HE) confirmed that HE accepted this to be the truth. - WGAA had rejected five out of six proposed sites for relocation. - HE had not had any discussions with Woodhouse Farm regarding the proposed WGAA relocation site near to them.</p> <p>POR: - enquired as to why a proposed site for the WGAA relocation had been included in the consultation brochures and drawings without any discussion or consultation with the two neighbours who would be most affected. - enquired as to why HE accepted the information provided by WGAA to be the truth without contacting him to confirm.</p> <p>JP (HE) advised that those landowners affected by the compulsory purchase of their land to facilitate the proposed WGAA relocation were attempting to inflate the value of their land and discussions were proving difficult.</p> <p>POR enquired as to whether it would make more sense to explore an obvious solution and make him an offer, given the discussions he had had with WGAA on 23rd November 2017 (when WGAA enquired about whether he would sell his property to HE / WGAA as WGAA's preference was to relocate their pitches to the field that surrounds his property and to build a clubhouse and car park where his property currently stands).</p> <p>JP (HE) advised it would be expensive but he would give it proper consideration as an option.</p>	2018.01.19	Meeting	<p>Actions: - JP (HE) to explore an option for the WGAA reconfiguration that includes Four Winds. - POR to submit his concerns regarding the scheme in writing to the HE website. These include no apparent improvement in southbound traffic flows, an increase in noise and sound pollution at his property, the impact of proposed works around his property and proposals for the WGAA site. - HE to provide a written response to the points raised.</p>		Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.		
Consultation response received - Response ID: ANON-THZ3-TD6A-R				2018.01.23			
<p>Site Administrator <highwaysengland.gov.uk@citizenspace.com> 23 January 2018 at 15:04</p> <p>Thank you for completing the consultation. Your response ID is ANON-THZ3-TD6A-R. Please have this ID available if you need to contact us about your response. If you would like to download a PDF copy of your responses, please click the link below, or copy and paste it into your browser's address bar. https://highwaysengland.citizenspace.com/he/m42-junction-6-improvement-statutory-consultation/consultation/my_response?user_id=ANON-THZ3-TD6A-R&key=aa2c55cf25019daf862c76e22c6312db254416c9 This link is unique to you. Please save this email and do not forward it on to anyone else, as it provides access to view all the answers you have submitted.</p>	2018.01.23	Email	Email confirmation receipt for consultation response submission.				Note: No response received to points raised in consultation submission dated 23rd January 2018 despite assurances from HE at the consultation event that a full written response would be provided.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
M42Jct6 - Philip O'Reilly,	2018.01.31						
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 31 January 2018 at 15:43 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com>, jonathan.pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk Hi Lydia, We have today received further copies of the letter from Ardent requesting ownership details of our property. As we have already provided these details in response to the Ardent letter received in December 2017 can you please advise on why we are being asked to provide the same details again? Kind regards, Philip	2018.01.31	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) questioning why POR is being asked to complete a document confirming ownership details when the same document was completed and returned in December 2017.	2018.01.31	Email	Query regarding why Ardent are requesting ownership details of Four Winds, having previously submitted details in December 2017.	Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 1 February 2018 at 07:35 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Cc: Carl Weaver <CarlWeaver@ardent-management.com>, M42 Junction 6 M42Junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk Hello Mr O'Reilly Thank you for your email. I will need to speak with my colleagues from Ardent to understand the reason for these further letters in order to respond to your question. I will do that today. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any further questions. Kind regards Lydia	2018.02.01	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) advising that she will need to speak with Ardent.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Carl Weaver <CarlWeaver@ardent-management.com> [REDACTED] Dear Mr O'Reilly, Apologies for the delay in responding to your email. Thank you for returning your RFI to us in December 2017. The reason an additional RFI was sent to you is because we need to ascertain whether there are any additional interests in your land/property that could be entitled to a Part 1 claim, but is not subject to any potential land take. Part 1 claims can be as a result of physical impacts of a scheme, such as increased noise, reduction in air quality etc. If there are no further interests in your land/property then there is no requirement for you to return the second RFI to us. If you wish to discuss this further then please contact my colleague Rachel Brade on 07500 866 113. Kind Regards, Carl	2018.02.16	Email	Email from Ardent advising that RFI was to confirm interests in his property. Advised of Part 1 claim as a means of recourse for physical impacts of a scheme.	2018.02.16	Email	Response from Ardent on behalf of HE in relation to Mr O'Reilly's query outlined in his email on 31.01.18. Explanation that the additional RFI that was sent to Mr O'Reilly was due to the need to ascertain whether there are any additional interests in the land/property that could be entitled to a compensation claim, but not subject to any potential land take. If there are no further interests in Four Winds, there is no requirement to return the second RFI to Ardent.	Refer to notes opposite. Email from Ardent also specifically mentioned a Part 1 claim as a means of recourse.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 8 May 2018 at 09:50 To: Lydia Barnstable <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Hi Lydia, Thank you for your call this morning. However, can you please ensure I am only contacted on my mobile number to avoid any further distress to my parents? Kind regards Philip	2018.05.08	Email	Email to LB (AECOM) confirming call with LB (AECOM). Requested future contact to be to his mobile number rather than to his land line to avoid causing further distress to his parents.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Noise monitoring for the proposed M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme	2018.02.26						
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 26 February 2018 at 10:52 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Phillip, Good morning. Having received your consultation response for the M42 Junction 6, I was wondering whether you would be agreeable to having the noise levels outside your property measured as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed Highways England M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme. This email and the attached letter sets out this request to place a sound level monitor outside your property to measure the background sound level. The attached letter provides more detail about our request and includes the contact details of our Principle Acoustic Consultant Debbie Preston, should you wish to talk through the proposals. If you would be prepared to permit access, please respond to this email and provide a phone number on which we can reach you to make final arrangements for access to your property. Your support would be greatly appreciated. Kind regards, Emma Emma Rawlings Smith, BSc (Hons), MSc, MA, pgFRGS Graduate Consultant - Strategic Planning & Advisory, Transportation, EMIA D +44 (0)121 262 1953 Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com M42 Noise 12 March 2018 .docx 1559K	2018.02.26	Email	<i>Attachment:</i> <i>M42 Noise 12 March 2018 .docx</i> Email from AECOM with copy of letter requesting installation of noise monitoring equipment at Four Winds. Requested permission to place noise monitoring equipment around Four Winds.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 26 February 2018 at 11:37 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, Can you please provide a plan showing where the monitor(s) will be located? Also, is the installation date of 12th March fixed as I am currently not available on that day and do not want people walking around my property when I am not there? Kind regards, Philip	2018.02.26	Email	Email to AECOM requesting a plan indicating the proposed location of noise monitoring equipment at Four Winds.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 26 February 2018 at 11:40 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Philip, Thanks for the fast response. I will confirm with Debbie Preston where would be the most suitable location for a monitor and get back to you. The date is flexible, we put an estimate in as a guide. I completely understand that you would want to be in when the consultants are there. When would be more suitable for you? Regards, Emma	2018.02.26	Email	Email from AECOM advising that they will need to confirm location and get back to POR.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 26 February 2018 at 11:50 To: [REDACTED] Philip, Just to follow up on your query about the noise monitors. Debbie Preston is away from the office today, I would hope to get a response from her tomorrow. Kind regards, Emma	2018.02.26	Email	Email from AECOM advising that they should be able to confirm location on 27th February 2018.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
[REDACTED] > 26 February 2018 at 12:01 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, My understanding is that Highways England are proposing to build: - a new roundabout at the south eastern boundary to our property - a new dual carriageway running from the eastern boundary to the northern boundary - and are proposing to relocate the GAA football pitches to our western boundary which will in turn require a new access road running from our south eastern boundary to our western boundary. Will 1 monitor cover this? And can you please confirm the proposed monitor(s) will only record noise levels and not conversations? Regarding an installation date, Thursday 15th March would be more suitable. If you could please provide an update on the above when Debbie is back in the office that would be appreciated. Philip	2018.02.26	Email	Email to AECOM enquiring as to whether one monitor will suffice as proposal does not include any monitoring in direction of existing WGAA location.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 27 February 2018 at 14:52 To: [REDACTED] Good afternoon, I just tried to call, unfortunately you were unavailable. So I thought it would be worth providing (via email) a response from our Acoustic Consultant as follows: The monitoring location for Four Winds (or any other location) will need to be representative of the noise environmental at their property, and facing towards the proposed scheme. We make the final judgement on the position of the monitoring location once we are on site based on site layout and access and in consultation with the resident. Typically the equipment needs to either be at a façade position (1 m from the building) or free-field (at least 3.5 m from the building). For Four Winds, it would make sense to have the monitoring location facing Catherine de Barnes Lane, towards the proposed scheme. The location of the equipment would only be made in consultation with yourself. These monitors are very sensitive and therefore only one would be needed on your property. As you have requested that only noise levels are recorded, this is what we would do. We would never record conversations unless the resident gives explicit permission for noise to be recorded. I hope this gives a clearer picture of the potential noise monitoring work. Kind regards, Emma	2018.02.27	Email	Email from AECOM advising that there is only a need to install a noise monitor facing Catherine de Barnes Lane, which they describe as 'the scheme', and as such only one monitor is proposed.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 27 February 2018 at 16:41 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com Hi Emma, Apologies for missing your call. Unfortunately I am not up to speed with the process of noise monitoring so can your noise consultant please advise on how a single noise monitor will be representative of the existing noise environment at our home when it differs at each side of the house and the proposed scheme will affect us from four sides? Also please advise on how noise levels in our garden will be monitored. We have a two acre plot and this scheme will obviously detrimentally affect our quiet enjoyment of same. Finally, can you please confirm what exactly is being monitored with regard to sound levels? i.e. will it simply be a peak and trough level? Kind regards, Philip	2018.02.27	Email	Email to AECOM requesting additional sound monitors are installed given the size of the plot. Requested information on whether a monitor simply measures a peak and trough level.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 28 February 2018 at 14:59 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> Philip, Good afternoon. As I am not the acoustic expert and I would not like to give you the wrong information, I have asked Debbie Preston to give you a ring to answer any questions that you have about the recording instruments. In terms of noise monitoring. The purpose of the noise surveys in March would be to record current conditions at the front of your property which is where the scheme would pass by. We are placing monitors in a number of places in the vicinity of the scheme and the information combined would tell us the range of noise levels which occur over the period of a week i.e. local road noise, aircraft sound etc. You are absolutely right that noise levels would vary around your property, however Catherine de Barnes Road and overhead aircraft are possibly the loudest sources of noise right now. I hope this is of some use, and you should hopefully be contacted quite soon by Debbie who will be able to answer your specific questions about the noise monitoring. Kind regards, Emma	2018.02.28	Email	Email from AECOM confirming that there is only a need to monitor facing Catherine de Barnes Lane which they describe as 'the scheme'. Confirmed monitor will just measure the range of noise levels.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite. No mention of noise related to existing WGAA site or from the proposed reconfiguration of the WGAA site, which will be part of 'the scheme'. Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: "TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5" These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds. With regard to the impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds please refer to separate document: "Mr Philip O'Reilly - M42 Jct6 - Response to HE document: 8.3 Comments on the Relevant Representations". Note: Following discussion with the acoustician, and at my request, a second monitor was installed in the direction of the existing WGAA site.
PHONE CALL with Jonathan Pizzey (HE)	2018.03.19						
Highways England Jonathan Pizzey (JP) Stakeholders Phillip O'Reilly (POR)	2018.03.19	Phone Call	POR requested an update following the consultation process and HE discussions with WGAAA regarding other potential sites for relocation. Advised by JP (HE) that: - responses to consultation will need to be reviewed. - scheme will need to be updated following consultation responses. - design will be fixed around end of May / beginning of June. - there will be nothing to discuss until August 2018 when DCO will be submitted. - he will stay in touch and provide an update in due course.	2018.03.19	Phone call	Phone call from Mr O'Reilly requesting a progress update. It was explained that the consultation period had ended on 9th March and HE were in the process of considering responses. A meeting was suggested for a few weeks' time. It was explained that further work was being undertaken regarding the WGAA relocation. Mr O'Reilly asked if HE would fund an agent to represent him which HE will respond to in due course.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. I suggested a meeting in a few weeks time. JP (HE) explained that there would be nothing to discuss until DCO submission in August 2018.
Phone Call with Lydia Barnstable (LB)	2018.05.08						
AECOM Lydia Barnstable (LB) Stakeholders Phillip O'Reilly (POR)	2018.05.08	Phone Call	LB (AECOM) advising that JP (HE) would call in a few days to provide an update and answer any questions.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Raising awareness of Bat surveys related to the M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme							
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 25 May 2018 at 15:00 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Emma, Do you know if Lydia is available today? Kind regards, Philip	2018.05.25	Email	Email to AECOM enquiring about the availability of LB (AECOM).				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 25 May 2018 at 15:04 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> Philip, She works here with me. Unfortunately, she is now on annual leave and will be returning to the office on Monday 4 June 2018.	2018.05.25	Email	Email from AECOM confirming LB (AECOM) is on annual leave until 4th June 2018.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 25 May 2018 at 15:15 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Emma, Would you know if Jonathan Pizey is also away? Kind regards, Philip	2018.05.25	Email	Email to AECOM enquiring about the availability of JP (HE).				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 25 May 2018 at 15:24 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> Philip, Unfortunately I only know about AECOM staff. I would have thought he will be available as he had a long holiday earlier in the year, so it is worth giving him a ring at Highways England.	2018.05.25	Email	Email from AECOM advising POR to call JP (HE) as he should be available.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 25 May 2018 at 15:34 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, I have already tried that and left messages but got no response. Thanks anyway and enjoy the bank holiday. Kind regards, Philip	2018.05.25	Email	Email to AECOM advising that POR has already tried calling JP (HE) and has left messages but he has failed to return his calls.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 25 May 2018 at 15:38 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> Philip, I can also drop Jonathan an email to let him know you would like to speak with him.	2018.05.25	Email	Email from AECOM confirming they will email JP (HE) to let him know POR wishes to speak with him.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 25 May 2018 at 15:44 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Emma, That would be great, if you don't mind? When I spoke to Lydia last week she said he would be in touch in a few days but it'll soon be two weeks and I would like an update. Thanks again, Philip	2018.05.25	Email	Email to AECOM advising that during a call, almost two weeks beforehand, LB (AECOM) had said she would call in a few days, but POR had received no call.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Proposed M42 J6 Improvement scheme - Land access and information update							
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 19 July 2018 at 11:59 To: [redacted] Good morning Philip, To make the M42 Junction 6 scheme more efficient, my landowner liaison activity is going to be carried out by Matthew Lang at Ardent. With GDPR in mind, please can I ask you whether it is alright for me to share your email and mobile phone number with him, so that we can update you about the date and times of upcoming surveys in the vicinity of the scheme. As far as I am aware this is the dusk bat transect and roost surveys taking place nearby to, but not on your land. I am still working on the scheme and can be contacted via email or phone. Kind regards, Emma	2018.07.19	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) advising that future landowner liaison activity will be carried out by Matthew Lang at Ardent.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Additional Consultation for the M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme							
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 21 August 2018 at 16:46 To: [REDACTED] > Philip, Good afternoon. This email is to let you know that Highways England will be carrying out an Additional Consultation for the M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme from 4 September to 2 October 2018. At the start of the consultation period you will be sent a plan to show how your Land Interests will potentially be affected by the scheme. The red line boundary has changed since the last consultation in January 2018, as the scheme design has developed as a result of consultation responses. Your property is outside of the red line. However, you may be affected in terms of your access to Catherine-de-Barnes Lane during construction. A fuller description of the impacts of the scheme can be shared if you would like a meeting to be arranged. I will give you a ring on your mobile tomorrow to confirm whether you would like a meeting and to arrange a mutually agreeable time and place. Alternatively you can respond to this email, or give me a ring in the office on the number below. Kind regards, Emma	2018.08.21	Email	Email from AECOM confirming that the red line boundary has changed and Four Winds is now outside the redline boundary. Suggested for meeting to be arranged.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip [REDACTED] > 21 August 2018 at 17:07 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, If we are no longer in the red line area I assume the field that surrounds us is not being used for a new gaelic football pitch so they are not moving any closer to us? Kind regards, Philip	2018.08.21	Email	Email to AECOM requesting confirmation WGAA will not be using field that surrounds Four Winds and will not be moving closer than their current location.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 23 August 2018 at 13:15 To: Philip [REDACTED] Philip, As an update, Highways England have taken legal counsel and the position of the potential Warwickshire Gaelic Athletic Association shown at the statutory public consultation earlier this year is not feasible. Consequently, alternative mitigation is currently being explored and I am not aware that any decisions have been made with regard to the location. If you would like to arrange a meeting to discuss, please let me know. The project team will then be able to provide you with an update with their progress. Kind regards, Emma	2018.08.23	Email	Email from AECOM confirming that following legal counsel proposed WGAA relocation site is not feasible.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip [REDACTED] > 23 August 2018 at 14:01 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, Thanks for getting back to me. Jonathan told me two months ago that they would not be relocating to the location shown on the consultation plans, but it's good to have that confirmed. However, if the location has still not been agreed then how are we no longer in the red line zone? And do you have a marked up plan or details of the proposed new locations? With regard to the new consultation is it going to follow the previous format i.e. different locations, or are meetings pre-arranged? Kind regards, Philip	2018.08.23	Email	Email to AECOM confirming JP (HE) had advised in June that WGAA was not feasible, but grateful to have it now confirmed by AECOM. Requested copy of drawing showing alternative sites for WGAA relocation.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
<p>Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 23 August 2018 at 14:37 To: Philip [REDACTED] Phillip, The Additional Consultation will run for 28 days from 4 September to 2 October. The only groups of people who will have a statutory consultation are those who have not been previously consulted and a new land interest has emerged since January, prescribed consultees such as Natural England and host/adjoining local authorities. Everyone else being consulted had statutory consultation in January as they were either impacted directly or indirectly by the scheme. Due to your location close to the proposed scheme, you will be a Category 3 individual (Cat 3 are people who may be indirectly affected by the proposed scheme, e.g. due to changes in Noise, Air Quality or disruption either due to the construction or use of our proposed scheme and our definition for this group is for people living within 300m of the centre line of the proposed Scheme) and will receive a letter in the post (approx 3 September), with an updated plan as a result of the Statutory Consultation and design development and an Appendix which explains each of the changes which have taken place since January 2018. The map for the scheme shows no alternative GAA location sites as the options are still being assessed. You will have the opportunity to respond during the consultation period, in the same way as you did in January. The difference with this consultation is that it is a targeted consultation for those directly or indirectly impacted by the scheme. It is not a public consultation seeking responses from further afield. Consequently, there will be no public events. All documentation will be available at Hampton-in-Arden, Marston Green and Solihull libraries and will be on the Highways England website www.highways.gov.uk/m42-j6 If you would like a meeting with members of the project team, this can be easily arranged at a mutually suitable time and place. Kind regards, Emma</p>	2018.08.23	Email	<p>Email from AECOM confirming Four Winds is Category 3 status, meaning it is within 300m of proposed development or indirectly affected by the proposed scheme, e.g. due to changes in Noise, Air Quality or Disruption. Confirmed that no alternative WGAA sites are being assessed.</p>				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.</p>
<p>Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] > 23 August 2018 at 15:18 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, When I attended the consultation in January I was told I would receive a response to any questions I raised and to ensure this would happen I was advised to use the website. I did this and to date I have received no response to any of questions I raised. As I am potentially likely to be affected by the relocation of the GAA when will I have an opportunity to comment on any proposed new location, as from my previous discussion with Jonathan I understood two options were on the table and a decision would be made by August 2018? If that is not the case then what is the timetable for this to be discussed and agreed? Also, seems to be an issue with that web address (and think there was also an issue during the consultation). Current documents can be viewed at the following address so maybe it will be the same: https://highwaysengland.co.uk/projects/m42-junction-6-improvement/ Kind regards, Philip</p>	2018.08.23	Email	<p>Email to AECOM enquiring as to why he has not received any response to the questions he raised at the January consultation. Requested confirmation of when he would have an opportunity to comment on any proposed new location for WGAA. Requested details of timetable to agree WGAA site as JP (HE) had previously advised there were only two options on the table.</p>				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.</p>
<p>Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 3 September 2018 at 12:07 To: Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] Cc: M42J6 <M42J6@aecom.com> Philip, Apologies for a delayed response, I have been away on annual leave. All responses to the consultation were analysed and fed into the design process. Please be assured that we had regard to the comments that you made. The site presented as a proposed alternative site for the GAA in the statutory consultation is not now being taken forward. As a consequence of the programme for the DCO application changing, with submission now scheduled for the end of 2018, some decisions which were programmed to be made by August 2018 have been pushed back. You should now have received a consultation letter in the post which provides an updated red line boundary plan and key changes made to the scheme since statutory consultation. A decision about the GAA mitigation is yet to be made and will be subject to further discussions with affected parties. In this regard, we would welcome a meeting with you to discuss the details of potential mitigation. Thank you for raising the issue about the website address. Highways England moved from a gov.uk domain and consequently all the new websites/email addresses as you rightly point out now end in co.uk. The website shortcut is now www.highwaysengland.co.uk/m42-j6</p>	2018.09.03	Email	<p>Email from AECOM confirming POR's comments have been included in design process. Confirmed the decision on WGAA mitigation has yet to be made. Confirmed the DCO submission has been pushed back to the end of 2018. Suggested for meeting to be arranged to discuss mitigation.</p>				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.</p>

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 3 September 2018 at 14:47 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, A timely response considering I had just finished telling the chaps from Ardent that you were the only one who responded to emails! From what I have been told, the GAA have refused the old dump site adjacent to their existing clubhouse which means the only option still on the table is the field next to our property. However neither option has been shown on the latest plan and no details of any mitigation have been provided? The latest plan also indicates a new lane/access to our property which has never been discussed or shown on previous plans and is not acceptable? Can you advise on which days/times Jonathan will be attending the events at Hampton Library? Kind regards, Philip	2018.09.03	Email	Email to AECOM requesting details of proposed WGAA site. Enquired as to why no details of proposed WGAA site or mitigation are shown on latest plan. Enquired as to why the latest plan includes new access lane to his property when it had never been mentioned by HE previously?				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 4 September 2018 at 18:08 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Philip, Please find attached the coloured plan which replaces the plan in the middle of the superseded Consultation Brochure. This provides a useful update. Due to the type of consultation, this being a targeted consultation there are no 'events' as such, but a meeting with members of the project team can be arranged for you to discuss the scheme, this can include Jonathan Pizey. A meeting can be arranged at a mutually agreeable time and location i.e. perhaps at the Arden Hotel. Please let me know if you would like a meeting set up. Kind regards, Emma HE551485-ACM-HGN-M42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-CH-0014 (3).pdf 3624K	2018.09.04	Email	<i>Attachment:</i> HE551485-ACM-HGN-M42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-CH-0014 (3).pdf Email from AECOM with copy of latest plan attached. Requested confirmation of any requirement for a meeting to be arranged.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 5 September 2018 at 00:07 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, Fentham Hall in Hampton is easiest for me. Can you let me know some dates when Jonathan will be available? Kind regards, Philip	2018.09.05	Email	Email to AECOM requesting dates JP (HE) will be available for a meeting.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 7 September 2018 at 14:46 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Philip, Could an 11am meeting on Thursday 20th or Friday 21st September work for you? Alternatively a meeting on the 24th or 28th September? Let me know which date would suit you best. As we have not booked any local halls for this consultation it may be easier to meet in a public space such as Arden Hotel.	2018.09.07	Email	Email from AECOM suggesting possible dates for meeting.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 11 September 2018 at 11:41 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, I believe the team are meeting at the Parish Council Office on 21st September so can meet you there afterwards at 11am? Kind regards, Philip	2018.09.11	Email	Email to AECOM suggesting meeting date of 21st September 2018.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 11 September 2018 at 12:00 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Philip, This sounds like a suitable time and location, so I have pencilled in the time and date and sent the request to the relevant people. I will try to confirm this meeting in the next couple of days with the project team and the venue.	2018.09.11	Email	Email from AECOM acknowledging receipt of proposed date.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 11 September 2018 at 12:00 To: "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hi Emma, Can you please confirm those attending? Kind regards, Philip	2018.09.18	Email	Email to AECOM requesting confirmation of those attending.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 18 September 2018 at 12:01 To: Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] Philip, The attendees are: Jonathan Pizze (Senior PM Highways England) Ian Bamforth (PM AECOM) Steph Boulter (AECOM Stakeholders) Martin Wheeler (Ardent) Regards,	2018.09.18	Email	Email from AECOM confirming project team attending meeting: Jonathan Pizze (Senior PM Highways England) Ian Bamforth (PM AECOM) Steph Boulter (AECOM Stakeholders) Martin Wheeler (Ardent)				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Rawlings Smith, Emma <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> 19 September 2018 at 15:56 To: Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] Cc: "Boulter, Steph" <Steph.Boulter@aecom.com> Philip, Oh great. We had asked if there was a room free and they said it was already booked, and perhaps not realised that the meeting was with you. In that case the team look forward to meeting with you as arranged.	2018.09.19	Email	Email from AECOM confirming meeting details.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
COMMENCEMENT OF CONSULTATION PERIOD	2018.09.04						
Statutory Consultation	2018.09.04	-	-	2018.09.04	-	Commencement of further consultation and publication of a scheme layout plan (ref HE551485-ACM-HGN-M42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-CH-0014), scheme changes plan (ref- HE551485- ACM-HGN-M42_GEN_ZZ_Z-DR-CH-0014) and a redline boundary plan (ref- HE551485-ACM HGN-M42_GEN_ZZ_Z-DR-CH-0013). Copies of these plans can be found in Appendix B.	

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
MEETING							
AECOM							
Steph Boulter (SB) Ian Bamforth (IB) Highways England Jonathan Pizzey (JP) Ardent Martin Wheeler (MW) Stakeholders Phillip O'Reilly (POR) Hampton in Arden Parish Council Dave Cuthbert (DC) Mike Blomer (MB)	2018.09.21						
POR advised that a lot of the issues and concerns he wished to raise about the scheme were the same points he had raised during the January consultation to which HE had failed to provide a response.							Incomplete summary on HE record.
Concerns: - New roundabout adjacent Dogs Home on Catherine de Barnes Lane will increase noise and pollution for Four Winds due to traffic braking and standing traffic, particularly HGV's at night. - No proper consultation on the WGAA proposals. - Impact on his property of proposed WGAA relocation site has not been fully considered, such as closer proximity to Four Winds, increased traffic, increased noise and disturbance, and potentially light pollution if floodlights are installed (as mooted by WGAA). - Proposal shows Four Winds will be accessed of a new access lane off an access lane and not directly off Catherine de Barnes Lane as currently. - New access lane will severely affect the quiet enjoyment of his property and will potentially increase the occurrence of anti-social behaviour near to his property. - New access lane to Four Winds has not been mentioned previously on any HE drawings or documents. - Bickenhill Roundabout will turn St.Peter's Lane into a rat run to Bickenhill Village. - 'New cycleway' appears to be using existing cycleway which is not fit for purpose. - Traffic numbers quoted in HE documents do not add up. - Scheme will not improve traffic flows of southbound traffic. - No noise or vibration data has been provided in HE documents.	2018.09.21	Meeting	Actions: Provide a full response to the points raised by POR regarding: - the WGAA site. - works around Four Winds. - the impact of the scheme on Four Winds. - proposed access to Four Winds. - the scheme in general. - the legacy from the scheme. - responsibility for the issues created by the scheme once HE have completed their works. A hard copy of all the points raised was provided to HE.	2018.09.21	Meeting	Mr O'Reilly provided a written list of concerns regarding the scheme. Discussions were formed around the WGAA plans and reasons why relocation of the club was no longer a viable option. Alternative access options were also discussed. Actions from the meeting included the circulation of points of concern articulated by Mr O'Reilly to the wider project team.	Refer to notes opposite. Discussion included the relocation of the WGAA, potentially to the field around Four Winds, and the concerns I had with that, not why relocation was no longer an option. Actions from the meeting included HE providing a response to the points I raised, not to merely circulate the points to the project team. Majority of points raised at the meeting have been included in my Relevant Representations submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on 8th March 2019 and 28th March 2019.
Consultation response received - Response ID: ANON-FGU6-9V35-F							
Site Administrator <highwaysengland.gov.uk@citizenspace.com> 2 October 2018 at 12:11 To: [redacted]@[redacted] Thank you for completing the online response form. Your response ID is ANON-FGU6-9V35-F. Please have this ID available if you need to contact us about your response. If you would like to download a PDF copy of your responses, please click the link below, or copy and paste it into your browser's address bar. https://highwaysengland.citizenspace.com/he/m42-junction-6-improvement-additional-consultation/consultation/my_response?user_id=ANON-FGU6-9V35-F&key=022f7647c1a24fe0dbcbe47eb14a3724276e92dc This link is unique to you. Please save this email and do not forward it on to anyone else, as it provides access to view all the answers you have submitted.	2018.10.02	Email	Email confirmation receipt for consultation response submission.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme - Four Winds, Catherine de Barnes Lane.							
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> 30 October 2018 at 21:39 To: m42junction6@[redacted].co.uk Jonathan, I understood that following our meeting on 21st September I could expect a considerable improvement in communication from Highways England? As such can you kindly explain why I instead have to rely on newspaper reports advising, amongst other things, that new options for the Páirc na hÉireann site are being discussed between Highways England and the GAA? Can I also please have an update on where we are with addressing the issues discussed at our meeting? Kind regards, Philip	2018.10.30	Email	(Following numerous updates on negotiations between HE and WGAA appearing in Irish Newspapers - some of which appeared on September 12th 2018, nine days before POR had a meeting with HE) Email to JP (HE) enquiring as to why HE or AECOM are not keeping POR informed of plans for the WGAA site when the Irish Press has been fully updated. Requested update on issues raised at meeting on 21st September 2018.	2018.10.30	Email	Request from Mr O'Reilly for an update on addressing points raised in the meeting of 21.09.18, and an update on options discussed between HE and WGAA.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> 3 November 2018 at 17:12 To: Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk Jonathan, Please see below - still awaiting response. Kind regards, Philip	2018.11.03	Email	Email to JP (HE) chasing response to email dated 30th October 2018.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
<p>Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 7 November 2018 at 12:06 To: [REDACTED] > Cc: M42 Junction 6 <M42Junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk> Philip Sorry for the delay in responding, however we are waiting to understand how the GAA's site is likely to be reconfigured before formally replying to you – as this would have an impact on both yourself and on our response. In the meantime, we have considered the points you made to us on the 21st September. A very brief synopsis of our response is as follows: 1. Noise – The Noise model predicts that the noise at your property will reduce by between 1 and 5 dB(a), due to a combination of moving the existing road away from your house, and significantly reducing the amount of traffic using it. 2. Access to Four Winds – following our meeting the team have looked at revised accesses for both Four Winds and the GAA; and determined it would be possible to give you both independent direct accesses onto Catherine de Barnes lane. Your access could be re-designed as a direct access to the re-aligned Catherine de Barnes Lane opposite your property. The access to the GAA could be similarly altered accessing the new Catherine de Barnes lane – to the north of your property. Making the accesses independent of each other. 3. The new roundabout will need to be lit for safety reasons, however we will be using modern 'full cut off' lighting which minimises light spillage beyond the edge of the carriageway. 4. The cycleway/ footway running past the front of your property will either be re-aligned on to the old Catherine de Barnes lane – or adjacent to the newly aligned road. As a footpath/ cycle way it will be 3 m wide. 5. In your response you make several observations regarding the scheme traffic forecast. The scheme is designed to minimise the impact on the traffic using Catherine de Barnes lane/ Hampton lane between the A45 and Solihull. The traffic on the road running past your house will reduce significantly, as it will only serve the residents in Bickenhill and the traffic heading southbound towards Solihull/ Hampton in Arden. The traffic from Solihull/ Hampton travelling north to Clock Interchange would turn off Catherine de Barnes lane onto the new link road at the new roundabout, before it passes your house. Yours Jonathan</p>	2018.11.07	Email	<p>Email from JP (HE) partially responding to some points raised by POR at meeting on 21st September 2018:</p> <p>1 - Reason for lack of response is because HE are still waiting to understand how the WGAA is likely to be reconfigured before responding to POR. 2- WGAA reconfiguration will have an impact on POR's property. 3 - Scheme will result in noise reduction. 4 - Direct access off Catherine de Barnes Lane to Four Winds is possible. 5 - Full cut-off lights to be installed. 6 - Cycle path will either be re-aligned onto the old Catherine de Barnes Lane or aligned with the new Catherine de Barnes Lane. 7 - Scheme is designed to minimise impact on Catherine de Barnes Lane / Hampton Lane between the A45 and Solihull.</p>	2018.11.07	Email	<p>Response to Mr O'Reilly's email of 30.10.18 with answers to points made by Mr O'Reilly in the meeting on 21.09.18.</p>	<p>Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. Response from JP (HE) only partially answered some of the points raised in meeting of 21st September 2018. Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: "TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5" These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds. DCO documents confirmed noise levels would only reduce in short term. With regard to the impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds please refer to separate document: "Mr Philip O'Reilly - M42 Jct6 - Response to HE document: 8.3 Comments on the Relevant Representations". NOTE: Email dated November 2018. Now June 2019 and HE have yet to confirm how the WGAA reconfiguration will affect Four Winds.</p>
<p>Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]> 13 November 2018 at 16:29 To: Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk Jonathan, If the reconfiguration of the GAA will impact us then why are we not being consulted on any proposed options? With regard to the responses provided: 1. Noise – The issue I raised was very specific. HE are creating a roundabout adjacent to our property. HGV's will continue to travel in the direction of Solihull but will now be braking and accelerating outside our home at all hours of the day and night creating a significant and distinct level of noise disturbance. In terms of noise levels there will be a new constant level of noise generated by the new by-pass. 2. Access to Four Winds – If it has been discussed can you please provide a copy of plan showing proposed access? Extending the current access lane from Woodhouse Farm to the new roundabout will also create many of the issues I raised regarding an access road to our property. Can you please confirm how these will be addressed? 3. My understanding of full cut off lights is that they do not cast light upwards and light is less scattered. However, this can result in a requirement for more fittings and an increase in lumens, which in turn generates more reflected light bouncing upwards and thus causes a light nuisance adjacent to our home. 4. The cycle path is currently not 3m wide on any stretch of the existing Catherine de Barnes Lane and from the drawings issued HE are only proposing to re-align Catherine de Barnes Lane from a point halfway between our property and Bickenhill Lane? 5. The traffic to Solihull already queues on Catherine de Barnes Lane and Hampton Lane and the scheme is doing nothing to reduce this. Reduced traffic towards the Clock Interchange will result in visitors to the GAA parking on Catherine de Barnes Lane and also allow it to become a private raceway. Also, can you please advise on when drawings will be available for discussion? Kind regards, Philip</p>	2018.11.13	Email	<p>Email enquiring as to why POR is not being consulted on proposals for the WGAA reconfiguration despite HE confirming his property will be impacted.</p> <p>Requested further detail and more substantial responses from JP (HE) to questions raised at 21st September 2018 meeting:</p> <p>1 - Specific question on noise related to the new roundabout beside POR's property and noise from HGV's braking and accelerating at all hours. 2 - Requested plan showing proposed direct access off Catherine de Barnes Lane to Four Winds. 3 - Specific question related to the lack of measures HE have included in their scheme to address the issue of new, altered or extended access roads, proposed in the scheme, from being used for airport parking, anti-social behaviour, etc. once their works are completed. 4 - Specific question on light nuisance caused by full cut-off lights. 5 - Specific question related to the width of the proposed cycle path as the existing cycle path is less than 700mm wide in places and is not proposed to be widened as part of the HE scheme. 6 - Specific question related to how the scheme will reduce queueing traffic on Catherine de Barnes Lane and Hampton Lane, as southbound traffic frequently queues from Shadowbrook Lane, along Catherine de Barnes Lane, around the Catherine de Barnes Roundabout, and along the full length of Hampton Lane to the lights at the junction with the Solihull Bypass (A41): a distance of approximately 2 miles. 7 - Expressed concern that the reduction in northbound traffic on Catherine de Barnes Lane would lead to it being used as a raceway.</p>	2018.11.13	Email	<p>Response to HE from Mr O'Reilly regarding the issues from the meeting and a request for information on when drawings will be available for discussion.</p>	<p>Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite.</p>

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> 17 December 2018 at 10:48 To: Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk Jonathan, I don't appear to have received a response to my last email (below)? Kind regards Philip	2018.12.17	Email	Email to JP (HE) chasing response to email dated 13th November 2018.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 21 December 2018 at 12:17 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> Cc: M42 Junction 6 <M42Junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk> Philip Sorry for the delay in replying – I have been waiting for a plan to show what a revised access could look like in detail; In line with your comments: 1. Noise: The new alignment of Catherine de Barnes lane, will move this road approx. 10m away from your property; and the new roundabout will be approximately 100m to the south east of your property. This section of road will have significantly less traffic than the current situation with northbound traffic only able to access Bickenhill and the GAA facilities. The new link road will be in deep cutting as it passes approx.250m to the north east of your property, and with the improvements to the planting opposite your property should not be visible. The traffic model shows that currently shows a significant reduction in the traffic currently using the Catherine de Barnes Lane in front of your house, as all the northbound traffic heading to the A45 and beyond, will be diverted to the new link road, before it passes your house. So taking these elements into account the noise model predicts a minor decrease in noise from the existing situation. Compensation may be payable through part 1 of the land and compensation act; however the modelling demonstrates an improvement in the noise environment at your property. 2. Access to 4 winds: The DCO has been drafted to provide a combined access from the new Barber Coppice Roundabout; however following your representations during the follow-up consultation, we have looked into the possibility of splitting the combined access, and providing you with a direct access off Catherine de Barnes lane; suitable for a domestic residents. The proposal would extend your driveway (by approx. 10m) over the stopped up section of Catherine de Barnes Road – to the new re-aligned section of carriageway. The new cycle / footpath would cross the extended driveway – closer to the new road alignment, improving the visibility splays from your property, so that both yourselves and cyclists will be able to see each other better as cars exit your property. We would be happy to promote if it's acceptable to yourself. 3. Full cut off lights: We would be proposing std full cut off lanterns on reduced height lighting columns (as required by the Airport) , which reduce the spread of light both vertically and horizontally – to minimise the impact on the local environment; they are no brighter than normal lighting, though the white light is generally seen as being more intense than the original 'orange' lighting which used to be used. 4. The Cycle Path: The cycle/ footway would be improved from a point south of the dogs home to Bickenhill Roundabout, to be a 3m wide path; this would be located on the western side of the re-aligned Catherine de Barnes Road, north of Bickenhill roundabout, the cycle path would be replaced by a 2 m wide footpath, and cyclists would need to cycle in the road through Bickenhill to the A45. This is appropriate as the only traffic using the road north of Bickenhill roundabout would be to access Bickenhill. 5. The scheme is required to improve traffic on the trunk road network, improving the turning movements at the M42 Junction 6. We would also be improving Clock Lane Interchange in order to ensure it has the capacity for the traffic volumes the traffic model predicts will want to use it. The scheme is designed to minimise the impact on the local road network. The use of a roundabout junction is an appropriate junction in this location and has been sized to accommodate the predicted traffic flows. The scheme would improve Clock Interchange, which would improve flows heading in the northbound direction, however it is up to SMBC to improve the local road network to improve the southbound traffic flows. 6. I understand your concerns regarding the impact of the WGAA on your property, and we are still working with them to understand the outline design to identify an 'agreed' way forward. The WGAA are still objecting to the plans to re-configure their site to replace the facilities lost to the scheme, instead of the original proposals to relocate them into the fields off Hillside Farm access lane. The DCO application currently assumes that the majority of this re-configuration will occur to the south of their current location, bringing 2 of the pitches slightly closer to 4 winds, however the exact nature of the re-configuration has not been agreed. I think it would be useful to have a meeting in the new year to discuss the proposed revisions to your access and the potential design of the GAA facilities and the mitigation which could be employed to minimise the effect on 4 winds. Please could you confirm when you would be available to have a meeting, and where you would like to meet, whether at your home, our offices, or a third party venue. When responding please could you cc in M42Junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk the Highways England scheme email address which will be monitored over the Christmas period.	2018.12.21	Email	Attachment: <i>Plan attached to email from Jonathan Pizzey (21st December 2018) - See Appendix ONE.pdf</i> Email from JP (HE) confirming: - the new link road will not be visible when completed. - compensation may be payable through a part 1 claim but the scheme modelling predicts a minor decrease in noise. - dedicated access to Four Winds will be provided. - full cut-off lights will be installed and will appear more intense than 'orange' lighting. - cycle path 3m wide, then 2m, then uses road from new Bickenhill Roundabout up to Clock Roundabout. - scheme will minimise impact on local road network, improve northbound traffic flows but will be up to SMBC to improve southbound traffic flows. - WGAA are objecting to reconfiguration plans. - DCO assumes majority of works will be to the south of their current site installing 2 pitches closer to Four Winds, however nothing has been agreed. Meeting proposed for new year to discuss access, WGAA proposals and mitigation to Four Winds.	2018.12.21	Email	Response to Mr O'Reilly's email detailing issues and image of a plan to show what a revised access could look like in detail. A copy of this plan is shown in Appendix A. Contrary to the information provided by JP (HE): from the construction phase through to fifteen years (and beyond) after opening the scheme will have a large adverse effect (significant effect) on visual amenity experienced at Four Winds (according to the assessment data produced by HE). Contrary to the information provided by JP (HE): any minor noise reduction is only short term (according to the noise modelling data produced by HE). JP (HE) confirmed direct access off Catherine de Barnes Lane to Four Winds will be provided, as discussed and agreed, but the plan attached to his email shows access is off a new access lane off the existing access lane to Woodhouse Farm and NOT directly off Catherine de Barnes Lane. JP (HE) confirmed it is up to SMBC to improve southbound traffic flows on the local road network. Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5 These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds.	

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]> 21 December 2018 at 12:58 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Jonathon, That plan shows access is still off an access road and not directly off Catherine de Barnes Lane? Kind regards, Philip	2018.12.21	Email	Email to JP (HE) enquiring as to why the plan provided by him does not show direct access to Four Winds off Catherine de Barnes Lane.		Email	Question from Mr O'Reilly regarding access.	Email questioned why drawing provided by JP (HE) shows access off a new access lane off the access lane to Woodhouse Farm and NOT directly off Catherine de Barnes Lane as discussed and agreed.
Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 4 January 2019 at 15:45 To: Philip O'Reilly <pvoreilly@gmail.com> Philip I am still waiting for an updated plan – however the discussions we have had internally, confirm a direct entrance could be provided. However the plan provided clearly indicates the position of the new road and roundabout compared to the position of your house. I will send you an updated plan as soon as I receive it	2019.01.04	Email	Email from JP (HE) confirming plan is not available but direct access can be provided.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 4 January 2019 at 15:50 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]> Philip I have just received the plan – showing what a potential revised access to your house could look like, Please see attached for your comment. Yours Jonathan HE551485-ACM-HML-M42_ML_01_ZZ-SK-CH-0002.pdf 1156K	2019.01.04	Email	Attachment: HE551485-ACM-HML-M42_ML_01_ZZ-SK-CH-0002.pdf Email from JP (HE) with plan attached showing direct access.	2019.01.04	Email	Plan showing a potential revised access to Four Winds shared with Mr O'Reilly.	Incorrect summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. Plan attached is shown in Appendix A of HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' and was provided to me on 4th January 2019, not 21st December 2018 as stated in that document. JP (HE) confirmed access to Four Winds would be directly off Catherine de Barnes Lane, as shown on the plan, and as we had agreed. JP (HE) did not describe it as 'potential revised access'.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]> 4 January 2019 at 17:10 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Jonathon, Thanks for the drawing. Can you please send me a couple of dates when you will be available to meet at the Parish Council Office in Hampton in Arden? Kind regards, Philip	2019.01.04	Email	Email to JP (HE) requesting dates for meeting.	2019.01.04	Email	Request for dates to arrange meeting with HE.	Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]> 11 January 2019 at 11:12 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Jonathon, I don't appear to have received a response regarding meeting dates? Kind regards, Philip	2019.01.11	Email	Email to JP (HE) requesting dates for meeting.	2019.01.11	Email	To arrange meeting with Mr O'Reilly in order to give a scheme update on the design and DCO application	Incorrect summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]@[REDACTED]> 13 February 2019 at 14:51 To: m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Jonathon, For some months now we have been told that we would have an opportunity to view and comment on the relocation options for Warwickshire GAA / Páirc na hÉireann. This has not happened and despite confirmation that we will be impacted we still have no idea to what extent that will be. I understand there was a meeting last week with representatives from Warwickshire GAA / Páirc na hÉireann and would therefore be grateful if you could please advise on what progress has been made with regard to the relocation of their pitches and clubhouse and what the options are? Can you also please confirm the deadline for reaching an agreement with Warwickshire GAA / Páirc na hÉireann as surely the Inspectorate will require a plan of the agreed site to review in order to make an informed decision? As discussed with you, when we met at the Statutory Public Consultation in January 2018, we would also like to get professional advice regarding the impact of the scheme as well as the DCO Representations. We would ask that the associated cost is borne by the scheme, as despite the fact our land is not being taken the impact of the Warwickshire GAA / Páirc na hÉireann relocation, and the scheme in general, will affect us quite considerably. We are conscious of the limited timeframe for DCO Representations to be submitted and thus would be grateful if you could please confirm agreement to this undertaking within the next 7 days. Kind regards,Philip	2019.02.13	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) advising of frustration at lack of information being provided with regard to WGAA site and its impact on Four Winds despite earlier assurances that that there would be an opportunity to view and comment on same. Requested: - copy of proposed options for the WGAA site. - details of progress made with WGAA. - confirmation of deadline for HE and WGAA to reach agreement. - HE to bear cost of professional advice. Enquired as to how the Inspectorate can make an informed decision on the WGAA site without having a plan of the agreed site.	2019.02.13	Email	Request by Mr O'Reilly to Highways England to provide an update on the progress made in regard to the WGAA options.	Refer to notes opposite. Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5 These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds. NOTE: This is 14 days after WGAA options were published on the Inspectorate website.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> 19 February 2019 at 13:02 To: m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Jonathon, Appreciate the scheme is taking up a lot of your time but I don't appear to have received a response to my previous email and given the timescales I would be grateful if you could please respond by close of play tomorrow. Kind regards, Philip	2019.02.19	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) chasing reply to email dated 13th February 2019.	2019.02.19	Email	Mr O'Reilly requesting response to email of 13.02.19	Refer to notes opposite.
Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 19 February 2019 at 17:32 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> Cc: M42 Junction 6 <M42Junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Phillip Sorry for not getting back to you, however I don't have any further news regarding the GAA; Though there have been further meetings with the GAA, we have not agreed a way forward with them. We will be meeting them hopefully next week to discuss the latest proposals – though we are still not certain these would be acceptable. Once we have an agreement of our outline proposals – I would be happy to discuss the details with you. If we cannot reach an agreement with the GAA we will be continuing with the mitigation included in the DCO application as we proceed through the process. I understand that Lydia has organised to meet you next week – so hopefully we can discuss at the time. HE does not pay professional fees to support objections to our applications, from any stakeholder. If an objection is successful and impacts the scheme; then we would may reimburse their reasonable costs in making the objection. Yours Jonathan	2019.02.19	Email	Email from JP (HE) confirming: - there are no updates regarding WGAA reconfiguration despite further meetings with WGAA. - if no agreement is reached with WGAA HE will continue with the mitigation to Four Winds included in the DCO. - HE does not pay professional fees to support objections to their applications, from any stakeholder.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite. NOTE: This is 20 days after WGAA options were published on the Inspectorate website. HE failed to advise me that the information had been made available and was now on the Inspectorate website. This was reaffirmed in the email from by JP (HE): 'We will be meeting them hopefully next week to discuss the latest proposals – though we are still not certain these would be acceptable. Once we have an agreement of our outline proposals – I would be happy to discuss the details with you'.
Meeting to discuss M42 Junction 6 Improvement Scheme	2019.01.11						
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 11 January 2019 at 16:56 To: "[redacted]" <[redacted]> Hello Mr O'Reilly, I am acting on behalf of Highways England and have been asked to arrange a meeting regarding the M42 Junction 6 scheme and to update you on the scheme design and DCO application. We would like to meet on Friday 25th January at 10.30am if that is convenient for you? I have also left a voicemail on your mobile regarding arranging a meeting. It is likely to be myself, Jonathan, Ian Bamforth and James Hemingway attending. If this time is not convenient, please could you let me have a couple of other times that you may be able to meet and I will recheck with the team? Once we have a confirmed time I will arrange to meet at a convenient local venue – potentially the Catherine de Barnes Parish Office if that is available. In the meantime, if you have any queries then please don't hesitate to contact me or Jonathan. Kind regards Lydia	2019.01.11	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) proposing meeting on 25th January 2019. Confirms JP (HE) will be attending.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]> 14 January 2019 at 10:11 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com>, Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk Hi Lydia, Fine to meet on Friday 25th January @ 10.30am at the Hampton in Arden Parish Council office. Ahead of the meeting can you kindly forward details of the options being reviewed with Warwickshire GAA so I can see the impact and have an informed discussion at the meeting? Kind regards, Philip	2019.01.14	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting details of options being discussed with WGAA be provided ahead of meeting.	2019.01.14	Email	Request from Mr O'Reilly for details of the WGAA options to be forwarded prior to the meeting on 25th January 2019.	Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 14 January 2019 at 15:03 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]@[redacted]>, "Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Hello Mr O'Reilly Thank you for your email. I will check with the Parish if we can make use of their office. With regards to sharing any mitigation options for the GAA, I will need to check with Highways England what information is available and will get back to you in due course.	2019.01.14	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming she will have to check with HE regarding availability of information.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Email from LB (AECOM) confirmed she would check with HE on availability of WGAA mitigation options.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 18 January 2019 at 16:27 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Cc: "Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Lydia, Has the meeting been arranged as I have not received confirmation? Also, with regard to the GAA options, these were being discussed with Warwickshire GAA before September 2018, so obviously the information exists in a format parties can discuss. Considering Jonathan has already advised that our property will be impacted, can you please advise as to why I have still not received any information on the options being discussed, despite previous requests (the most recent being 14th January 2019)? Kind regards, Philip	2019.01.18	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting details of options being discussed with WGAA be provided ahead of meeting, seeing as they have been discussed with the WGAA since before September 2018 and therefore must be available. Enquired as to why no information on the options being discussed has been shown to POR despite his property being affected by the reconfiguration of the WGAA site.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 18 January 2019 at 16:32 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Cc: "Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Bamforth, Ian" <ian.bamforth@aecom.com>, "Rawlings Smith, Emma" <Emma.RawlingsSmith@aecom.com> Hello Philip Yes, the meeting is confirmed for Friday at 10.30 in the Hampton in Arden Parish Offices. I am waiting for the information on mitigation options and will get these across to you early next week. Regards	2019.01.18	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming WGAA mitigation options will be provided 'early next week'.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 24 January 2019 at 14:21 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> Hello Philip I am just confirming arrangements for tomorrow - it will be myself and James Hemingway from AECOM and Chris Harris from Highways England attending the meeting at 10.30 tomorrow. I look forward to seeing you at the Parish Council offices, Fentham Hall, Hampton-in-Arden. Regards Lydia	2019.01.24	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) advising JP (HE) will NOT be attending the meeting.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 24 January 2019 at 15:45 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com>, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Lydia, The meeting was requested by Jonathan, all of the discussions and previous meetings have been with Jonathan, and at the last meeting it was Jonathan who promised to provide answers 'in the New Year' to the matters I raised. Is there any point in having the meeting if Jonathan is not in attendance as he was also the only one able to provide answers at the last meeting? May I also say it is extremely disappointing, although somewhat consistent, that you choose to notify me on the eve of the meeting that Jonathan will not be in attendance. Also, despite numerous requests for details on the options being discussed with the GAA, and an assurance I would have the information 'early this week', I have still not received it. Can you ensure this information is forwarded by return so I can review it ahead of the meeting? Kind regards, Philip	2019.01.24	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) enquiring as to why JP (HE) is not attending as he has been privy to all discussions thus far. Expressed disappointment at being notified late afternoon before day of meeting. Requested details of options being discussed with WGAA be provided ahead of meeting, as promised by LB (AECOM) on 18th January 2018.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 24 January 2019 at 16:06 To: Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]>, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Cc: "Bamforth, Ian" <ian.bamforth@aecom.com>, "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com>, "Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk>Philip, Thank you for your email. Unfortunately Jonathan has to attend another meeting at that time but Chris Harris is the Highways England Project Manager for this scheme and will be as well placed able to answer your queries as Jonathan. With regards to the mitigation options for the GAA, we are not able to share these with you as the discussions are ongoing. However, we will be able to give you an update on these discussions tomorrow.	2019.01.24	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming: - JP (HE) is attending another meeting at the time - she is not able to share information on WGAA mitigation options.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite. NOTE: Options being discussed with the WGAA were included in the DCO submission and published on the Inspectorate website on 30th January 2019. Despite numerous requests for information on the options being discussed with the WGAA, even as recently as 6 days before they were published on the Inspectorate website, HE refused to make the information available to me or advise me that the information would be included in the DCO application. Given the fact HE and AECOM had assured me that the information could not be disclosed, I was not aware of the options until I chanced upon them on 1st March 2019.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
MEETING	2019.01.25						
<p>AECOM James Hemingway (JCH) Lydia Barnstable (LB) <u>Highways England</u> Chris Harris (CH) <u>Stakeholders</u> Phillip O'Reilly (POR) <u>Hampton in Arden Parish Council</u> Dave Cuthbert (DC) Ken Blanch (KB)</p> <p>POR: - requested an improvement in communication from HE as he was still waiting on a full response to the points raised at the meeting on 21st September 2018. - expressed his dissatisfaction at the lack of information being provided with regard to the WGAA reconfiguration and the fact he was not involved in discussions despite his property being impacted. - enquired as to when he would have an opportunity to raise an objection to a WGAA scheme, and have that objection acted upon, if HE were trying to agree a scheme before discussing it with POR.</p> <p>LB (AECOM): - outlined that until discussions with the WGAA had reached a point whereby a form of layout could be agreed, it was not practicable to provide option drawings as these may not reflect potential or practical reconfiguration arrangements. - advised that until a time when there are more firm proposals for the WGAA mitigation, it is challenging to advise POR on the impacts to his property. - stated that the redline boundary shown on the plan is not just for the reconfiguration of the pitch but would also incorporate any required mitigation measures.</p> <p>POR: - enquired as to how HE would provide mitigation to his property if the scheme came up to his boundary. - requested for HE to pay for professional advice to support him.</p> <p>LB (AECOM): - confirmed that once a form of reconfiguration layout was developed, this information would be shared in person with POR in order to review any potential impacts and to understand his views. - outlined the timetable for submitting the DCO. - offered to provide a copy of the DCO Application on a USB stick (which POR accepted).</p> <p>POR: - enquired as to whether a WGAA option which included Four Winds had been discussed, or should have been, and whether the WGAA had suggested this as an option? - stated that he had suggested this option during discussions with JP (HE) at the Fentham Hall consultation event (19/01/2018) and asked why it had not been explored as an option. - suggested it could be discussed as an option with the WGAA as it seemed to be an obvious solution that would satisfy all parties i.e. no further development in the green belt, it moved the WGAA away from the new bypass, and it removed POR and his parents from the current situation.</p> <p>LB (AECOM): - confirmed it had not been discussed as an option and the WGAA had not suggested it as an option. - advised that she would find out why it had not been explored as an option. - confirmed that she would discuss this suggestion with HE.</p>	2019.01.25	Meeting	<p>Actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 - AECOM to provide a USB stick containing the Development Consent Order Application documents following Acceptance. 2 - Highways England to provide further information relating to the WGAA reconfiguration proposals to POR once there is a firmer understanding of the potential or practical reconfiguration arrangements to enable POR to assess its impact on the Four Winds property. 3 - Highways England to consider whether it is appropriate to pay for a professional advisor to POR. 4 - Highways England to consider POR's suggestion to explore an option which included the Four Winds property to facilitate the WGAA reconfiguration. 5 - Highways England to review the rear access proposals to see if the arrangement could be designed to reflect the existing access arrangement. 6 - Highways England to confirm how noise and air monitoring has considered the traffic movements that would be undertaken at Barbers Coppice Roundabout. 7 - Highways England to confirm lighting proposed and how the use of full cut off lighting will impact Four Winds. 8 - Venue for meeting on the 1st March to be confirmed. 9 - HE/ AECOM to advise on why an option which included Four Winds had not been explored as an option despite discussions in January 2018 suggesting this as a possible solution. 	2019.01.25	Meeting	<p>Meeting to discuss overview of previous communication between the two parties, the proposals for the options being discussed with the WGAA, an update on the DCO application submission and other scheme matters. It was explained to Mr O'Reilly that the provision of option drawings was not practicable until discussions with the WGAA had reached a point whereby a formal layout could be agreed. HE provided a timeline of WGAA proposals. Mr O'Reilly expressed his concerns regarding other elements of the scheme including the air quality and noise concerns due to the proposed new roundabout. Actions arising from the meeting included HE to provide further information relating to the WGAA reconfiguration proposals, the consideration of the appropriateness of HE paying for a professional advisor for Mr O'Reilly, HE to review the rear access proposals and confirmation of noise, air quality monitoring in relation to traffic movements at Barbers Coppice Roundabout and potential lighting impacts on Four Winds.</p>	<p>Refer to notes opposite.</p> <p>Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5 These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds.</p> <p>NOTE: This is 5 days before WGAA options were published on the Inspectorate website. HE again refused to make the information available to me or advise me that the information would be included in the DCO application.</p>

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Draft Notes of Meeting							
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 20 February 2019 at 08:37 To: Philip [REDACTED] "Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com>, "Pizzey, Jonathon (Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk)" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Morning Mr O'Reilly, Please find attached the draft note of the meeting for your review as promised. I look forward to seeing you again on the 1st March but in the meantime, please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any queries. Regards Lydia Mr O_Reilly Meeting Note 250119.docx 134K	2019.02.20		Attachment: Mr O_Reilly Meeting Note 250119.docx Email from LB (AECOM) with draft minutes attached from meeting on 25th January 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Meeting - M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme							
Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> 26 February 2019 at 11:22 To: lydia.barnstable@aecom.com Hi Lydia Can you please confirm attendees for the meeting on Friday? Friday 1st March @ 10.30am at the Hampton in Arden Parish Council office Kind regards, Philip	2019.02.24	Email	Email to LB (AECOM) requesting confirmation of those attending the next meeting on 1st March 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 26 February 2019 at 14:25 To: Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] Hi Philip It will be myself, James Hemingway and Jonathan Pizzey attending on Friday. Regards Lydia	2019.02.26	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming project team attending next meeting: Jonathan Pizzey (Senior PM Highways England) Lydia Barnstable (AECOM) James Hemingway (AECOM)				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
MEETING AECOM James Hemingway (JCH) Lydia Barnstable (LB) Highways England Jonathan Pizzey (JP) Stakeholders Phillip O'Reilly (PR) Hampton in Arden Parish Council Dave Cuthbert (DC) Ken Blanch (KB) Mike Blomer (MB) JP (HE) confirmed: - The underground attenuation tank would be moved to the south of the access off Barbers Coppice roundabout and a layby provided for access and maintenance. - HE could install a gate on the perimeter of the rear entrance to Four Winds to prevent people parking in their entrance - details to be agreed with POR. - Under the 'ad medium filium' presumption HE could erect a boundary fence and planting to 'enclose' the rear access to Four Winds. - An option was being developed to provide a proportionate reconfiguration of the WGAA. - The option would create two pitches on land to the south of the existing WGAA site, directly adjacent to Four Winds. - HE were working with the WGAA to create a legacy not only for the WGAA but also for the wider community. - HE are duty bound to provide proportionate mitigation for the WGAA facilities affected. - HE would maximise the gap between the reconfigured WGAA and Four Winds and would work with POR to minimise any impacts from the WGAA on Four Winds. - WGAA would prefer to be relocated, but only to the site near Woodhouse Farm, and that would not be possible under the DCO. - The District Land Valuer had advised that the costs of undertaking an option to include Four Winds would be considerable. - HE had not approached the WGAA to discuss a potential financial contribution from them to assist in the potential purchase of Four Winds, but would relay this Parish Council suggestion to the WGAA. It was suggested to JP (HE) that, given the fact Four Winds is effectively an island within the redline boundary, the purchase of Four Winds to facilitate the relocation of the WGAA site would provide a solution that would satisfy all parties. LB (HE) suggested that POR may wish to contact the RTPi's Planning Aid service for some free advice and agreed to provide POR with their contact details.	2019.03.01		Actions: 01 - Provide details of planning aid service to POR. 02 - Provide an electronic copy of the Book of Reference to POR. 03 - Highways England to provide details of the Option I proposal that forms part of the Development Consent Order application. 04 - Highways England to provide a link to the appendix of the Environmental Statement that details the WGAA. 05 - Highways England to relay the suggestion to the WGAA that they could purchase Four Winds via a joint funding agreement with Highways England.	2019.03.01	Meeting	Meeting to give an update on the scheme and the DCO application. Discussions also occurred regarding the rear access to the Four Winds property and an A1 drawing showing changes made to the access was provided at the meeting. An update was given regarding the WGAA reconfiguration option and potential impacts on Four Winds. Mr O'Reilly also queried why HE had not yet paid for an advisor for him to which HE explained it does not pay for advisors to object to the scheme. Actions raised from the meeting included HE to provide details of the Option I proposal for the WGAA and investigate the potential of suggestion to the WGAA that they could purchase Four Winds via a joint funding agreement with Highways England.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5 These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds. NOTE: This is more than 1 month after WGAA options were published on the Inspectorate website.
Follow up actions from Friday's Meeting	2019.03.04						
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 4 March 2019 at 10:43 To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Dear Philip Please see below a link to the Planning Aid website. As I mentioned at our meeting, this is a charitable section of the Royal Town Planning Institute that can provide some guidance and support to people relating to the Town Planning process. https://www.rtpi.org.uk/planning-aid/about-planning-aid/what-we-offer/ I have spoken to them and they confirmed that they would be able to provide 15 minutes of support and guidance to support you to make effective use of the representation process to the Planning Inspectorate. There is an opportunity for further support free of charge if you fall into any of the eligible groups so that would also be worth checking with them. Also attached is the full Book of Reference as promised. Regards Lydia M42J6_4-3_Book_of_Reference.pdf 1987K	2019.03.04	Email	Attachment: M42J6_4-3_Book_of_Reference.pdf Email from LB (AECOM) with Book of Reference attached. Advised that 15 minutes planning advice will be provided by RTPi.			Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.	

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Date of next meeting to discuss M42junction 6 scheme							
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 12 March 2019 at 15:08 To: [REDACTED] <clerk@hamptoninarden.org.uk> Morning We had agreed to meet on the morning of 22nd March following the GAA meeting with Highways England on the evening of the 21st. Unfortunately the team are now unable to make this time and I wonder if you could provide me with some alternative convenient dates and times for the following week please? Kind regards Lydia	2019.03.12	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming meeting scheduled for 22nd March 2019 has been cancelled and requesting alternative dates.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Clerk <clerk@hamptoninarden.org.uk> 13 March 2019 at 09:31 To: [REDACTED] Cc: Mike Blomer <mike.blomer@hamptoninarden.org.uk> Dear Lydia, Thank you for your email. I have taken 22 March out of the diary. Can we re schedule for Thursday 28 March at 9:30am please? I look forward to hearing from you. Kind regards. Julie Barnes Clerk for Hampton-in-Arden Parish Council Telephone: 01675 442017 Website: www.hamptoninarden.org.uk Clerk: Julie Barnes, clerk@hamptoninarden.org.uk Address: The Parish Office, Fentham Hall, Marsh Lane, Hampton-in-Arden, Solihull, B92 0AH	2019.03.13	Email	Email to LB (AECOM) proposing meeting date of 28th March 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 14 March 2019 at 09:43 To: Clerk [REDACTED] Cc: Mike Blomer <mike.blomer@hamptoninarden.org.uk> Hello Julie Thank you for making these arrangements – I will email Jonathan and James to check their availability for this time and get back to you to confirm asap.	2019.03.14	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming she will email JP (HE) to check his availability to meet on 28th March 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] > 18 March 2019 at 16:11 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Cc: [REDACTED] Hi Lydia, Has the meeting date now been confirmed as I am mindful the proposed date of 28th March is the final day for representations and I obviously want to ensure we have had a meeting no later than that date? Kind regards, Philip	2019.03.18	Email	Email to LB (AECOM) seeking confirmation that the meeting date of 28th March 2019 has been confirmed.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 20 March 2019 at 08:41 To: [REDACTED] Cc: Mike Blomer <mike.blomer@hamptoninarden.org.uk> Hello Julie I can confirm that the we are able to make this meeting time. Thank you for your help. Regards	2019.03.20	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming meeting date of 28th March 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
M42 Junction 6 scheme - Meeting Minutes							
Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Mike Blomer <mike.blomer@hamptoninarden.org.uk> Lydia, I do not appear to have received the minutes from the last meeting, or the revised minutes from the previous meeting. Can you please send me a copy of both so I can review them ahead of our next meeting? Kind regards, Philip	2019.03.14	Email	Email to LB (HE) chasing minutes from meeting on 1st March 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 14 March 2019 at 21:25 [Redacted] [Redacted] (Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk)" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com> Philip Apologies these are sitting with me to review and update – I'll endeavour to do that asap. Kind regards Lydia	2019.03.14	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming minutes have not been reviewed and updated.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme - Four Winds, Catherine de Barnes Lane - Design changes, Mitigation & WGAA Philip [Redacted] at 23:03 To: m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Jonathan, We understand from the WGAA that the final proposal for the reconfiguration of the WGAA site is an amended version of Option 5? We note that the drawing in its current form does not: - include any provision for WGAA access from either Catherine de Barnes Lane or the proposed new access lane - include any of the design changes discussed to date e.g. access to front and rear of Four Winds, underground storage tank, etc. - include any proposed mitigation around Four Winds - include the WGAA requirement for lighting to at least one of their pitches With regard to mitigation it was suggested at the last meeting that this would take the form of a soil bund approximately 50m from the boundary to Four Winds, topped with a 3m+ high acoustic fence fully screened by planting to the south side. However, on previous occasions when we discussed mitigation, you said the land between Four Winds and the WGAA site would be fully planted out with trees, effectively positioning Four Winds within a wood. We would ask that the mitigation proposal incorporates a combination of both of these as we do not feel that either proposal on its own will provide sufficient noise reduction/screening. We would also ask if mitigation could possibly be extended to the South and West boundary of Four Winds thereby effectively eliminating the possibility of further unwanted development around the property? Ahead of our next meeting can you please provide an up to date drawing (or drawings) which incorporates all of the above and ideally includes critical dimensions? We are sure you will agree this is a reasonable request as it is only then that we will be able to assess the level of impact the proposals will have on Four Winds. With regard to the WGAA reconfiguration we understand that Highways England, at their/taxpayers' expense, have agreed to build a new clubhouse for the WGAA that is more than twice the size of the existing clubhouse, exceeding what could reasonably be considered like for like replacement. We also understand that Highways England have agreed to build a new car park for the WGAA, at their/taxpayers' expense, with car parking proposed for 170+ cars - more than three times the existing provision - again exceeding what could reasonably be considered like for like replacement. We find this somewhat surprising given the fact both Highways England and AECOM have repeatedly stated at all meetings to date that any works carried out by them to provide the WGAA with a new facility would, and legally could, only be done on a like for like basis? We would be grateful if you could please clarify the situation, as well as confirming what has been agreed with the WGAA, and what, if anything, they are actually paying for? Furthermore, we understand from the WGAA that they are agreeing all matters relating to the reconfiguration of their site with Peter Mumford, Highways England Director of Major Projects & Capital Portfolio Management, thereby trumping any discussions or agreements we have with you and effectively trampling over the concerns we have expressed to date. Can you please advise on whether this is the case and how it is being managed as we understood we were dealing with the decision makers for this scheme and that now appears to not be the case? Finally, Highways England and AECOM have also confirmed at all meetings to date that the red line boundary on their drawings, which marks the extent of lands included in the DCO, could not be exceeded and was the limit of lands to be acquired or used for the scheme. WGAA have advised, however, that Highways England are in discussions to acquire land adjacent to the proposed WGAA site that sits outside the red line boundary/scheme limits. Can you please advise as this is contrary to what we have been told, including as recently as our last meeting? Kind regards, Philip	2019.03.14						
	2019.03.14	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting: - confirmation that drawing being discussed with WGAA is actually an amended version of option 5 (as concluded by POR following a telephone conversation with WGAA). - clarification on the detail shown on the version of option 5 drawing being discussed as it currently does not include sufficient detail or the design changes POR discussed and agreed with HE. - confirmation that mitigation to Four Winds would be as discussed i.e. soil bund across the field, topped with a 3m+ high acoustic fence fully screened by planting to the south side and the land between fully planted out with trees. - provision of an up to date drawing showing design changes discussed to date and dimensions. - confirmation and extent of proposed works for WGAA reconfiguration as they now appear to be over and above a like-for-like basis. - detail of role Paul Mumford (Highways England Director of Major Projects & Capital Portfolio Management) is playing in negotiations with WGAA. - confirmation that redline boundary will be adhered to as WGAA suggest extra land outside the red line boundary will be taken to accommodate their reconfiguration (which was not mentioned in the last meeting with HE on 1st March 2019).	2019.03.14	Email	From Mr O'Reilly requesting an up-to-date drawing of the WGAA proposal ahead of the meeting on 28.03.19, clarification on the proposals regarding the new clubhouse and car park for the WGAA, and clarification on the land take acquired for the proposed WGAA options.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Philip O'Reilly [redacted] 21 March 2019 at 15:12 To: m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Jonathon, I don't appear to have received a response to my email dated 14th March 2019 (below)? Kind regards Philip	2019.03.21	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) chasing response to email dated 14th March 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 22 March 2019 at 16:11 To: Philip [redacted] Cc: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Philip Please see attached the drawing Lydia included in her original e-mail which shows the proposed DCO mitigation for the WGAA site, north of your property at Four Winds. In line with your bullet points: This clearly shows (in Orange/ Brown) the revisions to the accesses we have made following both your and the GAA's concerns over having a combined access. This includes a direct access off Catherine de Barnes lane for the GAA, and the 'agreed' separate front and rear accesses to Four Winds. The plan shows that we are proposing to put in an environmental barrier (screen) between your house and the GAA facilities, again the detail of this has not been agreed. As discussed at the meeting we would need to agree the details and the comments made are what could be done – ie say a 1m high bund and a 3m high fence = 4m (or a 2m high bund and a 2m high fence) or combination. However the proposals at present would be for a 2-3m high noise fence with planting on both sides. The land around Four Winds is no longer needed to mitigate the WGAA site, and so this could include further tree planting to improve the schemes bio-diversity score, or to further improve the mitigation to Four Winds (ie using a bund/ re-profiling the field), and/ or left in Mr Cattell's ownership. As discussed at previous meetings the details need to be discussed and agreed. The comments made were to show what could be done. Ill discuss your thoughts about planting around Four Winds with AECOM's environmental specialists, before our next meeting. There are no plans to include any high mast lighting for the WGAA pitches – as part of the scheme. Under the DCO scheme all the pitches would be too close to Birmingham Airports flight path to be allowed, let alone the impact on the environment in this 'dark' area of the greenbelt. Im quite happy to provide additional plans on the 'art of the possible' to help agreement with you – for our next meeting With regard to the WGAA, within the DCO we are required to provide them with proportionate and equivalent mitigation to accommodate the changes we are making to their premises. The scheme impacts their access to Catherine de Barnes Road, 2 pitches, and approx. 150 car parking spaces; so within the DCO scheme this is what is being replaced. As we have altered our plans to accommodate your concerns over access or the existing impact of the WGAA on Four Winds we are doing the same with the WGAA and their concerns, in order to leave a positive legacy to the area behind once the scheme has been completed. The discussions about what this actually entails are ongoing – and it is likely that their facilities will be jointly funded by both ourselves and the WGAA – rather than purely from taxpayers money. NB even with the Reconfigured + scheme, there are no plans to include high mast lighting to illuminate the WGAA site. The current plan for a 'reconfigured +' site, does not come any closer to Four Winds than the DCO plans; and the mitigation would be the same in both cases. Yours Jonathan HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109.pdf 1114K	2019.03.22	Email	Attachment: HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109.pdf Email from JP (HE) with copy of WGAA Option 1 drawing attached. Advising: - that proposed mitigation is a 2-3m high noise fence, with planting to both sides and not as discussed and agreed i.e. a soil bund across the field, topped with a 3m+ high acoustic fence fully screened by planting to the south side. - that remainder of field could be planted out with trees. Confirming: - WGAA option 1 drawing is the drawing included in the DCO application and is being discussed with WGAA. - no lighting will be provided to pitches. - additional plans on the 'art of the possible' for works around Four Winds would be provided at the next meeting on 28th March 2019 to help reach agreement. - works to the WGAA site will only provide proportionate and equivalent mitigation to accommodate the changes to their premises and is limited to 2 pitches, new access to Catherine de Barnes Lane and replacement of approx. 150 car parking spaces. - reconfiguration works for WGAA will likely be jointly funded by HE and WGAA. - any legacy works included in a WGAA scheme over and above a like-for-like replacement would not include lighting or result in the site being any closer to Four Winds than the DCO plans and mitigation would remain the same.	2019.03.22	Email	Confirmation of revised access proposals and DCO WGAA proposals.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5 These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA. The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds. JP (HE) attempted to row back on previous agreement we had made with regard to proposed mitigation to Four Winds. NOTE: JP (HE) confirmed the WGAA option 1 drawing was submitted with the DCO application and was being discussed with WGAA. However: - this drawing was not submitted with the DCO application, despite both JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) advising to the contrary.
Philip O'Reilly [redacted] m> 22 March 2019 at 16:55 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Cc: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Bcc: [redacted] Jonathan, Thanks for your email. Can you just confirm that if WGAA want lighting or a new clubhouse that will not be pushed through as part of your DCO? I.e. they will need to submit their own, totally separate, planning application rather than a Trojan horse application that doesn't allow for proper scrutiny by SMBC, residents, parish council, etc.? Kind regards, Philip	2019.03.22	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting confirmation that any works to the WGAA site over and above proportionate and equivalent mitigation will be open to the full scrutiny of a planning application and not be pushed through under the DCO.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
<p>Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> 25 March 2019 at 11:34 To: [REDACTED].com> Cc: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Phillip The DCO seeks the necessary powers to mitigate the direct impact on club arising from the proposed link road. The DCO scheme therefore proposes a new access to the existing club house, extended / replacement car parking facilities and two reconfigured pitches to the south of the existing main pitch. The DCO scheme does not propose to bring enhancements to the club through the introduction of flood lighting or through the re-provision of a new clubhouse. Any variation to the proposals within beyond the DCO would need to be authorised by separate planning application through SMBC as the local planning authority.'</p> <p>With regard to your recent e-mail to Lydia, I confirm that the buried holding tank will be located on the SW side of Barbers Coppice Roundabout, opposite the Dogs Home; however the outfall will need to be located just to the west of your rear access, and SMBC as the Highway Authority will need to access it, on an irregular basis – the detail of how this occurs is still to be agreed.</p>	2019.03.25	Email	<p>Email from JP (HE) confirming:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the DCO scheme proposes a new access to the existing WGAA club house, extended / replacement car parking facilities and two reconfigured pitches to the south of the existing main pitch. - the DCO scheme does not propose enhancements such as lighting or a new club house. - any variations to the proposals within the DCO will have to be authorised by separate planning application through SMBC. - the new holding tank will be located on the SW side of Barbers Coppice Roundabout, opposite the Dogs Home. 				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly'</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p>
<p>Philip [REDACTED] March 2019 at 13:58 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Cc: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Bcc: [REDACTED] Jonathan, Thanks for getting back to me. In light of your email am I to now take it as fact that the items below do not actually form part of any agreement with Highways England, and will not be carried out as part of the DCO scheme? - new enlarged clubhouse (facilitated by the purchase of land outside the red line boundary from Mr Moosa) - new access road to same - new hurling wall - new installation of flood lights (agreed in principle by SMBC) And can you also confirm that although these items have been discussed at meetings with the WGAA, they have been discounted once and for all, regardless of their attempts to involve Peter Mumford, and other senior level individuals, in negotiations? Kind regards, Philip</p>	2019.03.25	Email	<p>Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting confirmation that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - a new enlarged WGAA club house, new access road to same, new hurling wall and new installation of floodlights will not be carried out as part of the DCO scheme. - these enhancements have been discounted once and for all. - WGAA are not attempting to force these items through by involving Peter Mumford, and other senior level individuals at HE, in negotiations. 				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly'</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p>
Meeting notes and plan	2019.03.19						
<p>Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 19 March 2019 at 09:14 To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Cc: "Pizzey, Jonathon (Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk)" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com>, "Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Boulter, Steph" <Steph.Boulter@aecom.com> Morning Philip Yes, we are able to make the 28th March at 9.30 am, as arranged with the Parish Clerk. Also, please find attached the note of our last meeting and a scan of the earlier meeting note that you amended with your comments in red. I have scanned these rather than update the notes so that it is clear what are your comments and what was stated in the original note. Also attached is the reconfiguration option, Option I, which is the layout that has been included for consideration in the DCO application and that is currently being discussed with the GAA. Please note however that Highways England is in on-going dialogue with WGAA to determine if this layout is acceptable to them or could be further developed in order to better meet their needs. Also, the environmental planting/ fencing solution to provide a screen between Four Winds and the GAA has not been finalised and would need to be subject of discussion with you. Please let me know if you have any queries. Regards Lydia DOC180319-18032019162810.pdf 330K HE551485-ACM-GEN-M42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-MI-ZH-0002.pdf 158K HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109.pdf 1114K</p>	2019.03.19	Email	<p><i>Attachments:</i> DOC180319-18032019162810.pdf HE551485-ACM-GEN-M42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-MI-ZH-0002.pdf HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109.pdf</p> <p>Email from LB (AECOM) with meeting minutes from meeting of 1st March 2019 attached. Copy of amended minutes from 25th January 2019 attached. Copy of WGAA Option 1 drawing attached.</p> <p>Confirmed that 'reconfiguration option, Option I, is the layout that has been included for consideration in the DCO application and is currently being discussed with the GAA'.</p> <p>Advised that the 'environmental planting/ fencing solution to provide a screen between Four Winds and the GAA has not been finalised and would need to be subject of discussion'.</p>	2019.03.19	Email	Provision of draft WGAA Reconfiguration Option I.	<p>Incomplete summary on HE record.</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p> <p>LB (AECOM) attempted to row back on previous agreement I had made with JP (HE) with regard to proposed mitigation to Four Winds.</p> <p>NOTE: LB (AECOM) confirmed the WGAA option 1 drawing was submitted with the DCO application and was being discussed with WGAA. However: - this drawing was not submitted with the DCO application, despite both JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) advising to the contrary.</p>

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
<p>Philip O'Reilly <[REDACTED]> March 2019 at 11:56 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Cc: [REDACTED]</p> <p>(Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk) <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com>, "Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Boulter, Steph" <Steph.Boulter@aecom.com> Hi Lydia, Many thanks for your email. I will review the minutes from the meeting on 01/03/2019 and advise of any changes required at our next meeting on 28th March. Can you please confirm that HE and AECOM accept that the extra notes I took at the meeting on 25/01/2019, which are now added to your original minutes, are factually correct? With regard to the drawing provided, can you confirm that the holding tank shown adjacent to my rear entrance has been incorrectly positioned on the drawing? It was stated at the last meeting that it would be relocated to the other side of the access lane to Woodhouse Farm i.e. in front of the Dogs Home, and a parking bay for maintenance purposes would be installed on Catherine de Barnes Lane? With that being the case, SMBC will not require access to my rear entrance or the ditch adjacent. Also, can you please tell me where I can find this drawing within the DCO application? I must say I am rather confused as to what drawing is actually being discussed with the WGAA as they have recently confirmed the drawing they have been asked to consider is 'Figure 3.5e, Warwickshire Gaelic Athletic Association, Option 5 (HE551485-ACM-EGNM42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-DC-0249)? As alluded to in my email of 14th March it would appear that the WGAA are negotiating a different scheme with Paul Mumford and at this moment in time you do not appear to be privy to those discussions. Kind regards, Philip</p>	2019.03.19	Email	<p>Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting confirmation that HE accept the notes added to the January 25th 2019 meeting minutes to be accurate.</p> <p>Requested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - confirmation of holding tank location as the drawing provided by LB (AECOM) has not been updated to reflect previous agreement with HE. - the location of WGAA Option 1 drawing within the DCO application documents. - clarification on the drawing HE are discussing with WGAA as the WGAA have advised that the drawing they are discussing with HE is not the Option 1 drawing but is based on drawing 'Figure 3.5e, Warwickshire Gaelic Athletic Association, Option 5 (HE551485-ACM-EGNM42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-DC-0249)'. - the location of drawing HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109 (referred to as WGAA Option 1 drawing) in the DCO application. <p>Enquired as to role of Paul Mumford in negotiations with WGAA.</p>				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly'</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p>
<p>Philip [REDACTED] 25 March 2019 at 10:36 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> [REDACTED] [REDACTED], "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com>, "Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Boulter, Steph" <Steph.Boulter@aecom.com> Lydia, I don't appear to have received a response to my email dated 19th March 2019 (below)? Kind regards Philip</p>	2019.03.25	Email	<p>Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) chasing response to email dated 19th March 2019.</p>	2019.03.25	Email	<p>Mr O'Reilly requesting meeting note from the meeting on 01.03.19. Query as to where to find the WGAA design in the DCO documents. Highways England responded with a reply regarding the location of the DCO plans for the WGAA option.</p>	<p>Incorrect summary on HE record.</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p> <p>NOTE: At no time has HE provided the location of drawing HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109 (referred to as WGAA Option 1 drawing) in the DCO application.</p>
<p>Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] 27 March 2019 at 13:12 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Cc: [REDACTED]</p> <p>(Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk) <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Hemingway, James" <james.hemingway@aecom.com>, "Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk" <Chris.Harris@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Boulter, Steph" <Steph.Boulter@aecom.com> Lydia, Both you and Jonathan have forwarded a copy of the same drawing - attached - which you said in your email dated 19th March 2019 is 'the layout that has been included for consideration in the DCO application and that is currently being discussed with the GAA'. I replied to your email on the same day and asked if you could please tell me where I could find that drawing within the DCO application. As yet I have had no response. As such, can you please confirm where in the DCO application I can find that drawing so I can review any references to that drawing within the DCO application ahead of our meeting tomorrow? Can you also please confirm that HE and AECOM accept that the extra notes I took at the meeting on 25/01/2019, which are now added to your original minutes, are factually correct? And finally can you please confirm this is the only drawing being discussed with WGAA, and SMBC, as both parties have confirmed is 'Figure 3.5e, Warwickshire Gaelic Athletic Association, Option 5 (HE551485-ACMEGNM42_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-DC-0249) Kind regards, Philip</p>	2019.03.27	Email	<p>Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) confirming that JP (HE) on 22nd March 2019 and LB (AECOM) on 19th March 2019 have provided a copy of the same drawing - HE551485-ACM-GEN-ZZ_SW_ZZ_ZZ-DR-ZH-0109 (referred to as WGAA Option 1 drawing).</p> <p>Advised that both JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) have confirmed that WGAA Option 1 is the drawing included in the DCO application and that it is being discussed with WGAA.</p> <p>Enquired as to the location of the drawing in the DCO application.</p> <p>Requested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - confirmation that HE accept the notes added to the January 25th 2019 meeting minutes to be accurate - confirmation WGAA Option 1 drawing is the drawing being discussed with WGAA and SMBC as this is contrary to what SMBC and WGAA have advised. 				<p>Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly'</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p>

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Fw: Four Winds Access Drawing to be Bound Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 29 March 2019 at 15:03 To: [REDACTED] > Cc: M42J6 <M42J6@aecom.com> Hello Philip Please find attached the CAD drawing as requested at our meeting yesterday. Please note that this shows the amendments we are proposing in the vicinity of Four Winds but does not include the DCO scheme for the GAA. This is on a separate drawing. I trust this is acceptable but please let me know if you have any queries. Regards Lydia HE551485-ACM-HML-M42_ML_01_ZZ-SK-CH-0002.dwg 17295K	2019.03.29		<i>Attachment:</i> HE551485-ACM-HML-M42_ML_01_ZZ-SK-CH-0002.dwg Email from LB (AECOM) with CAD drawing of scheme showing some amendments around Four Winds attached.	2019.03.29	Email	HE circulated the CAD drawing requested by Mr O'Reilly at the meeting on 28.03.19.	Incorrect summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. Drawing was provided to me, at my suggestion, so that I could amend to reflect my understanding of the proposals suggested by HE, during meeting on 28th March 2019, for works around Four Winds.
Philip [REDACTED] April 2019 at 14:38 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Lydia, Please see attached drawing. Markup is on '++FOURWINDS' layer. Kind regards, Philip Four Winds - HE551485-ACM-HML-M42_ML_01_ZZ-SK-CH-0002.dwg 16675K	2019.04.01	Email	<i>Attachment:</i> Four Winds - HE551485-ACM-HML-M42_ML_01_ZZ-SK-CH-0002.dwg Email to LB (AECOM) with marked up CAD drawing attached.	2019.04.01	Email	From Mr O'Reilly with his mark-up on the CAD drawing provided on 29.03.19 setting out his aspirations for the boundary treatment around Four Winds.	Incorrect summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite. Drawing does not set out my aspirations. Drawing reflects my understanding of the proposals suggested by HE, during meeting on 28th March 2019, for works around Four Winds.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 1 April 2019 at 16:19 To: Philip O'Reilly [REDACTED] Hello Philip Thank you for these - I don't have access to CAD but have forwarded them to a colleague this afternoon to PDF and issue to Jonathan for his attention. Regards Lydia	2019.04.01	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) advising drawing will be issued to JP (HE) for his attention.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
<p>MEETING</p> <p>AECOM</p> <p>Lydia Barnstable (LB)</p> <p>Highways England</p> <p>Jonathan Pizzey (JP)</p> <p>Stakeholders</p> <p>Phillip O'Reilly (POR)</p> <p>Hampton in Arden Parish Council</p> <p>Dave Cuthbert (DC)</p> <p>Mike Blomer(MB)</p> <p>JP (HE) confirmed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - updated drawings would be provided to POR to include access and boundary treatment around Four Winds. - that under the 'ad medium filum' presumption, regarding the stopping up of a highway, HE could erect a boundary fence and planting to 'enclose' the rear access to Four Winds but exact land arrangement details had yet to be considered and would need to involve Solihull MBC. - WGAA reconfiguration drawing, Option I, was the scheme being discussed with WGAA and progressed through the DCO. - there was potential for additional planting around Four Winds and he would discuss this with his environmental colleagues. - he would need to check with Skanska (the contractors for the scheme) regarding the proposed location for compounds and measures to mitigate impact. - he would seek advice on whether some payment for an advisor could be facilitated. <p>POR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - agreed in principle to proposed amendments to access and boundary around Four Winds. - informed the meeting that he had had a recent discussion with WGAA and they confirmed that the Option 1 drawing was not being discussed with HE. They had advised that the scheme they were discussing included a new clubhouse, car park and a hurling wall and they were looking at the possibility of providing lighting to one of the pitches. - enquired as to why HE and AECOM were giving him and the Parish Council wrong information on the scheme being discussed with WGAA. <p>JP (HE) confirmed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - discussions were ongoing with the WGAA regarding a legacy scheme and could now involve the construction of a new clubhouse and parking for 170 cars adjacent to Four Winds. - floodlighting and a hurling wall were not being pursued in the HE proposal for the reconfiguration of the WGAA site. - the proposed WGAA legacy scheme was likely to devalue Four Winds. - a Part 1 Claim could not be made against the WGAA for the impact of the reconfiguration on Four Winds, as they are a private organisation. - there are no legal routes available for POR to make a claim against the WGAA for the impact of the reconfiguration on Four Winds, as they are a private organisation. <p>POR and the Parish Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - raised the question of a Discretionary Purchase Claim but noted it could not be pursued by POR until the WGAA scheme is confirmed. - highlighted the considerable impact a new clubhouse and car park adjacent to Four Winds would have on POR's home. - confirmed there had been five meetings with HE to discuss the scheme and the WGAA site reconfiguration with regard to the impact on Four Winds. - expressed their dissatisfaction with HE for failing to provide any clarity on the impact of the WGAA scheme on Four Winds despite those five meetings. - suggested Four Winds should have been purchased as part of the scheme. - expressed their frustration at being repeatedly assured by HE that the scheme being discussed with WGAA was the Option 1 scheme, only to be told Option 1 was the default option should HE fail to reach agreement with WGAA. - confirmed the construction of a new clubhouse and parking for 170 cars adjacent to Four Winds would change the environment and nature of the area and this was a major issue for POR. 	2019.03.28						
	2019.03.28	Meeting	<p>Actions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 - A CAD plan of the suggested design changes around Four Winds to be sent to POR. 2 - JP (HE) to speak with the environmental colleagues regarding the potential for additional planting around the Four Winds boundary. 3 - JP (HE) to speak with Ardent to check the legal position of the boundary strip around Four Winds. 4 - JP (HE) offered to seek advice as to whether some payment for an advisor could be facilitated. 5 - JP (HE) to confirm land arrangement with SMBC and HE Lands team regarding stopping up of any highway. 	2019.03.28	Meeting	<p>Discussion of suggested design amendments at Four Winds, the WGAA Option I (which was previously shared with Mr O'Reilly and the Parish Council) and explanation that HE is in discussion with the WGAA without prejudice regarding a potential legacy scheme. The potential impacts of the legacy scheme on Four Winds were articulated by Mr O'Reilly. Actions arising from the meeting included providing Mr O'Reilly with a CAD drawing of the suggested design changes and HE to seek advice on whether some payment for an advisor for Mr O'Reilly could be facilitated.</p>	<p>Incomplete summary on HE record.</p> <p>Refer to notes opposite.</p> <p>Assessment data produced by HE and submitted with the DCO refers to five southern WGAA options: TR010027-000157-TR010027_M42J6_6-2_Environmental_Statement_Figures_Figure 3.5</p> <p>These are the only options HE have considered and reported on for the DCO application but none of these options are being discussed with the WGAA.</p> <p>The final WGAA reconfiguration scheme has yet to be agreed and therefore the assessment data provided by HE and submitted with the DCO is not an accurate assessment of the specific impact of the WGAA reconfiguration on Four Winds.</p>

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
Re: M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme - Four Winds, Catherine de Barnes Lane - Request for Professional Advice							
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 31 March 2019 at 23:08 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Jonathan, As agreed at the meeting on Thursday, I am considerably impacted by the proposed works, and the reconfiguration of the WGAA site, regardless of the fact no land is being taken. As such I should be entitled to professional advice, with the cost borne by the scheme, and would be grateful if you could please reconsider your previous response to this request. Kind regards, Philip	2019.03.31						
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 31 March 2019 at 23:08 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Jonathan, As agreed at the meeting on Thursday, I am considerably impacted by the proposed works, and the reconfiguration of the WGAA site, regardless of the fact no land is being taken. As such I should be entitled to professional advice, with the cost borne by the scheme, and would be grateful if you could please reconsider your previous response to this request. Kind regards, Philip	2019.03.31	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting cost of professional advice be borne by HE.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 3 April 2019 at 10:34 To: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Lydia, Response from RTPI regarding 15mins free advice: Apologies - we no longer provide advice by telephone. Our website gives full details on how to contact us by e-Mail for advice - please see: https://www.rtpi.org.uk/planning-aid/online-advice-service/ As such, can you please authorise my request for professional advice with cost borne by the scheme? Kind regards, Philip	2019.04.03	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) advising RTPI no longer provide telephone advice.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 3 April 2019 at 17:50 To: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]>, "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk> Hello Philip Thanks for sharing the RTPI response with me - this is quite a surprise to me as I'd spoken to them specifically regarding the provision of support in your circumstances before I forwarded the contact details to you. With regards to your request for some financial support from Highways England towards your reasonable legal / lands advice. This was an action for Jonathan to check and I will leave him to respond to you directly with the decision. Regards Lydia	2019.04.03	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) confirming receipt of email and advising that she had spoken to them directly to agree the provision of support. Advised that JP (HE) would contact POR directly to advise on financial support for reasonable legal / lands advice.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
Re: M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme - Four Winds, Catherine de Barnes Lane - Design changes, Mitigation & WGAA							
From: Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> Sent: 30 April 2019 12:44 To: Pizzey, Jonathon <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>; Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com>; m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk Subject: M42 Junction 6 Improvement scheme - Four Winds, Catherine de Barnes Lane - Design changes, Mitigation & WGAA Hi Lydia, Hope you enjoyed the Easter break? Can you please forward a copy of the minutes from our meeting on 28/03/2019? Has the 'Four Winds' drawing now been updated? And has there been any progress on the reconfiguration proposals for the WGAA site? Also, would it be worthwhile pencilling in a meeting date? Kind regards, Philip	2019.04.30	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) requesting copy of minutes from meeting on 28th March 2019. Requested: - updated Four Winds drawing as promised by JP (HE) on 22nd March 2019. - update on WGAA site reconfiguration. Requested date for next meeting.	2019.04.30	Email	From Mr O'Reilly requesting an update on the actions from the meeting on 28.03.19.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite.
On Thu, 2 May 2019 at 15:15, Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> wrote: Hello Philip I hope all is well with you. Thank you for your email and apologies for not getting back to you sooner as I have been on holiday and then had a family bereavement. I will need to check with Highways England with regards to the meeting notes, updated drawings and WGAA position etc. and will get back to you asap. Regards Lydia	2019.05.02	Email	Email from LB (AECOM) advising that AECOM will need to check with HE on availability of information requested and reply asap.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
On Mon, 13 May 2019 at 14:34, <[redacted]> wrote: Hi Lydia, Can you please provide an update on when I can expect to receive the requested information? Kind regards, Philip	2019.05.13	Email	Email to LB (AECOM) chasing information requested in email dated 30th April 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.

Mr O'Reilly - Record of Engagement				Highways England - Record of Engagement			POR Comments
EMAIL / PHONE CALL / MEETING	DATE	FORM	SUMMARY OF EMAIL / PHONE CALL / EVENT	Date	Form	Key topics discussed and key outcomes (the topics should align with the Issues tables)	COMMENTS / NOTES IN RESPONSE TO HIGHWAYS ENGLAND RECORD OF ENGAGEMENT
<p>Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 20 May 2019 at 16:40 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Cc: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk" <m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk> Lydia, It is somewhat disappointing to find that despite the repeated assurances from both HE and AECOM that communication would improve, those assurances appear to be nothing more than empty promises, as this is now my third request for the following information: - Minutes from our meeting on 28/03/2019 - Updated 'Four Winds' drawing - Progress update with regard to the reconfiguration proposals for the WGAA site - Proposed date for our next meeting Given it is almost 8 weeks since our last meeting can you please tell me why I have not received the requested information? And when can I expect to receive the requested information? Kind regards, Philip</p>	2019.05.20	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) chasing information requested in email dated 30th April 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' Refer to notes opposite.
<p>Barnstable, Lydia <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> 20 May 2019 at 17:29 To: [redacted] Cc: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk" <m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk> Philip Please find attached the minutes from our meeting. I am sorry we have not had an opportunity to undertake a detailed assessment of your plan. However a preliminary view is that there may be some issues with taking more land from third parties that needs to be resolved. We hope to be in a position to confirm the treatment of any accommodation works around your property within a couple of weeks, dependent upon the DCO Examination timetable. Regards Lydia Mr O_Reilly Meeting Note 280319.docx 93K</p>	2019.05.20	Email	<p><i>Attachment:</i> <i>Mr O_Reilly Meeting Note 280319.docx</i></p> <p>Email from LB (HE) with incomplete minutes from meeting on 28th March 2018 attached.</p> <p>Advising: - that there may be some issues with taking land from third parties. - that works around my property should be confirmed within a couple of weeks i.e. before 3rd June 2019.</p>	2019.05.20	Email	Circulation of meeting minutes and explanation that HE should be in a position to confirm the treatment of any accommodation works around Four Winds within a couple of weeks, dependent on the DCO Examination timetable.	Incomplete summary on HE record. Refer to notes opposite.
<p>Philip O'Reilly <[redacted]> 6 June 2019 at 11:50 To: "Barnstable, Lydia" <lydia.barnstable@aecom.com> Cc: "Pizzey, Jonathon" <Jonathon.Pizzey@highwaysengland.co.uk>, "m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk" <m42junction6@highwaysengland.co.uk> Lydia, It is now 10 weeks since our last meeting and despite previous requests I have still not received the information requested or a response to my questions. An explanation, at the very least, would be appreciated. Kind regards, Philip</p>	2019.06.06	Email	Email to JP (HE) and LB (AECOM) chasing information requested in email dated 30th April 2019.				Event not included in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly' as after date of submission to Inspectorate. Refer to notes opposite.

Appendix ONE:

**Plan attached to email from Jonathan Pizey
(21st December 2018)**

APPENDIX ONE

Plan attached to email from Jonathan Pizzezy (HE) dated 21 December 2018.
Incorrectly referred to as Appendix A in HE document '8.5 Record of engagement with Mr Philip O'Reilly'

